

**Housing  
Needs  
Survey Report  
May 2016**



**Cuddington Neighbourhood Plan**

Undertaken by Cuddington Parish Council

# Contents

## 1 Introduction

## 2 How Representative Is The Survey

### 2.1 Population

### 2.2 Household Size

### 2.3 Property Type And Size

### 2.4 Tenure

### 2.5 Discussion/ Conclusion

## 3 What Does It Tell Us

### 3.1 Your Household

### 3.2 Use of Transport

### 3.3 Village Facilities

### 3.4 Location

### 3.5 Future Housing Requirements

### 3.6 Additional Comments

**Appendix A:** List Of Comments From Each Question

**Appendix B:** Household Range Versus Accommodation Size

**Appendix C:** Age Range Versus Accommodation Size

**Appendix D:** Tenure Versus Accommodation Size

## 1. Introduction

In May 2016 the Steering Group of the Neighbourhood Plan for Cuddington Parish, which includes the wards of Cuddington, Delamere Park and Sandiway undertook a survey of residents. The objective of the survey was to establish what the residents thought their village housing needs were likely to be in the next 10 years. This information is part of the evidence base from which the objectives and policies of the Neighbourhood Plan will be generated.

It was decided to undertake the survey on a household basis. 2473 paper survey forms were distributed, one to each property in the village, and the opportunity was offered to complete the form on line should this be preferred. 750 responses were received (i.e. a response rate of ~30%) of which 195 used the internet (26% of responses). The data on the paper forms was entered, by volunteers, into the same analysis programme as that offered online.

The results of the survey are, therefore, the combined data arising from those responses entered directly by respondents and those entered from the paper forms. It should be noted that there was no requirement to answer all of the questions

and many respondents did not do so. Thus the number of respondents to a particular question is provided to indicate the size of the database that underpins each question.

The report is broken down into the following sections:

2. How representative is the survey?

3. What does it tell us?

- 3.1 Your Household
- 3.2 Use of Transport
- 3.3 Use of Village Facilities
- 3.4 Location
- 3.5 Future Housing Requirements
- 3.6 Further points
- 3.7 Summary of Issues

## 2. How representative is the survey?

### 2.1 Population

Q1 of the survey requested information about the numbers and ages of the members of the household. 746 respondents answered this question and Table 1 below summarises the data.

**Table 1: Survey Population Response**

Age Band	0 – 15 Years	16-24 Years	25-40 Years	41-59 Years	60-74 Years	75+ Years	Total
Number of residents recorded	230	123	155	379	536	269	1692
Percentage of total	13.6	7.3	9.1	22.4	31.7	15.9	100

This data can be compared with that generated by the census. Table 2 below compares the Housing Needs Survey (HNS) data with that from the 2001 and 2011 censuses. The comparison cannot be exact because the data in the survey was collected on a slightly different age basis than the census data. The different age ranges are indicated in the table.

Table 2 below – which compares the survey and census population profile data – indicates that the parish had a higher percentage of over ~40s than the overall Cheshire West and Chester (CWaC) area and the North West region in both 2001 and 2011. The 2014 population data estimated by CWaC shows an even bigger percentage of over ~40s (59.2%) than the 2001 (52.2%) and 2011 (56.7%) parish census

data. From 2001 to 2011 the population grew by ~2% but the latest 2014 estimate from CWaC – see column 8 – shows a fall in total population. This is as a result of a boundary review in 2015 which CWaC took into consideration when re-estimating the 2014 population.

Even allowing for the boundary review, the continuation of the increasing trend in the percentage of over ~40s in the census data suggests the age profile of the village has been shifting over some years.

However, in spite of this trend, the higher percentage in the HNS, of those older than 41 years at 70%, is thought to be mainly as a result of more people in the upper age groups responding to the survey.

Comparing the 2014 census data with the survey information, the combined percentage of 25 to 59 year olds is 39.5% while that for the survey is 31.5%; for the combined 60 – 74 and 75+ age groups the 2014 census total is 37.6% while that for the HNS is 47.6%. This suggests that the census

data is underweight in responses from the 25 – 59 age group (it appears to be predominantly in the 25 – 40 segment) and over-represented in the 60 – 75+ age groups (apparently predominantly in the 60 – 74 segment).

**Table 2: Comparison of Survey Population data with census information**

Year	2001 (KS02)*			2011 (KS102EW)*			2014**	HNS Data	
Area	Parish	CWaC	NW Region	Parish	CWaC	NW Region	Parish	Parish	
Age Range									
0-15	16.9	20	20.7	15.9	17.7	18.6	15.6	0 -15	13.6***
16-24	8.9	9.7	10.9	7.7	10.8	12.1	7.3	16- 24	7.3
25-44	22	28.4	28.3	19.7	25.1	26.4	17.9	25 -40	9.1
45-59	24.8	20.2	19.1	21.9	21	19.8	21.6	41– 59	22.4
60-74	17.4	14.3	13.7	22.5	16.8	15.1	23.9	60 -74	31.7
75+	10	7.5	7.4	12.3	8.6	7.7	13.7	75+	15.9
Totals	5222	321971	6729764	5333	329608	7052177	5190	1692	
Percentage of People Older than 41/45 yrs	52.2	42	40.2	56.7	46.4	42.6	59.2	70	

\* Data sheet is from [neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk](http://neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk)

\*\* CWaC Fact sheet 20160706-2015 Parish Council 2014MYE-Cuddington-v01.pdf (This fact sheet is based on the parish boundaries after the 2015 Governance Review which altered the area included in the parish – hence the ~3% drop in total number.)

\*\*\* Numbers are Percentages of the total row at the base of the table

## 2.2 Household Size

The age profile data also allows the number of households of different sizes to be derived.

Table 3 provides a comparison of the derived household sizes with data from the 2001 and 2011 censuses.

**Table 3: Comparison of household sizes with census data**

Year	2001 (UV51)			2011 (QS411EW)			HNS
	Parish	CWaC	NW Region	Parish	CWaC	NW Region	Parish
% of 1 person households	<b>21.9</b>	27.4	30.9	<b>24.6</b>	29.6	32.2	<b>22.8</b>
% of 2 person households	<b>41.9</b>	35.6	32.9	<b>43.3</b>	36.1	33.5	<b>49.3</b>
% of 3 person households	<b>14.8</b>	16.1	16	<b>13.4</b>	15.8	15.7	<b>11.4</b>
% of 4 person households	<b>15.9</b>	14.2	13.2	<b>14.2</b>	13	12.2	<b>11.7</b>
% of 5 person households	<b>4.1</b>	4.8	4.9	<b>3.5</b>	4	4.3	<b>4.2</b>
% of 6 person households	<b>1</b>	1.3	1.4	<b>0.8</b>	1.1	1.5	<b>0.5</b>
% of >6 person households	<b>0.3</b>	0.5	0.6	<b>0.2</b>	0.4	0.6	<b>0.1</b>
Total Number of households	2110	132887	2812780	2250	141442	3009549	746

Table 3 census data indicates that the parish has a lower proportion of 1 and 3 person households compared to the CWaC and NW regions. It has a higher proportion of 2 and 4 person households – although the difference for 4 person households is small. In the HNS survey data only the proportion of 2 person households is significantly higher than the 2014 census data with the remainder within a couple of percent of the 2014 estimate.

It is considered that Table 3 shows the survey is reasonably representative of the village household profile as a whole – certainly enough to provide the basis for policy development. From 2001 to 2011 the proportion of one and two person families appears to be increasing across all areas while other types of household have reduced somewhat.

The table shows that there is an increase in the proportion of population over 60 between 2001 and 2011 which continues into the CWaC estimate for 2014 (although care is required with this latter figure because of the boundary changes). The HNS data is difficult to compare directly because of the slightly different age range used for the forties to fifties group but suggests that the increase in the older age groups is reflected in the survey data.

However, the increase of those over 40 from ~59% (2014) to 70% (2016) in 2 years is too large to be a real increase across the population - without a significant increase in village population. The increase in village population is not reflected either in the CWaC population estimate or in day-to-day experience on the ground. It is considered that this increase is much more likely to be as a result of the skewed age profile of the respondents to the survey i.e. a larger proportion of older residents responded. If it is accepted that the older respondents preferred to use the paper form to respond (as seems likely based on the data entry team experience), the fact that only 195 responses were received electronically would further support this line of reasoning.

The age profile data has been further analysed to determine the proportion of the older age groups i.e. 60 – 74 & 75+ that live in one and two person household(s).

No of single person aged 75+ households = 87  
 No of two person aged 75+ households = 70

No of single person aged 60-74 households = 66  
 No of two person aged 60–74 households = 180

The total number of single person households in the survey is 170. The total of those occupied by 75+ and 60 – 74 age groups is 153 i.e. 90% of the total in the survey. For two person households the 75+ and 60 - 74 total is 250 which is ~68% of the total in the survey. Clearly the 60 – 74 and 75+ age groups form the majority of the one and two person households in the survey.

The numbers of 75+ people who live in one or two person household(s) is 227 i.e. ~84% of the total number of 75+ people in the survey. The number of 60 – 74 persons who live in one or two person household(s) is 426 i.e. ~79.5% of the total number of 60 – 74 people in the survey. Thus of the total of 805 people who are in the 60 – 74 and 75+ age groups in the survey, 653 live in one or two person household(s) i.e. ~81% of the total. There is no doubt, therefore, that the 1 and 2 person household data in the survey is dominated by the over 60s age groups.

The magnitude and distribution of the possible age profile shift is difficult to ascertain from the census and HNS data available here. Assuming that the profile shift is real, there are a variety of possible explanations e.g.

- a. Population mobility of (older?) residents is low and the population is actually aging
- b. Change is driven by the recent house building programmes that have provided larger houses which may be beyond the means of the younger residents
- c. The possibility that the age when people move into the housing market is increasing.

### 2.3 Property type and size

Q2 asked for information about the type of property that is lived in now. Table 4 (based on 749 responses) provides a comparison of the data from the 2001 and 2011 censuses and the HNS. The census data is not reported in quite the same format as that collected in the survey but a valid comparison is still possible.

The census data in Table 4 (below) shows very clearly the very high levels of detached houses and bungalows in the parish compared to the CWaC and NW regions. It further shows there has been little change in the proportion of the different types of accommodation between 2001 and 2011.

However, the HNS data has an even higher proportion of detached houses and bungalows - clearly showing that these properties are over-represented in the survey results. The data also shows the remainder of the categories are somewhat under-represented.

**Table 4: Comparison of property type with census data**

Year	2001 (UV51)			2011 (QS402EW)**			HNS
	Parish	CWaC	NW Region	Parish	CWaC	NW Region	
No of detached houses and bungalows	<b>55.7*</b>	30.7	17.7	<b>55.6</b>	30	18	<b>68.2</b>
No of detached houses							<b>51.1</b> (included above)
No of detached bungalows							<b>17.1</b> (included above)
No of semi-detached houses and bungalows	<b>27.8</b>	36.8	36.5	<b>26.2</b>	36.5	36.4	<b>21.5</b>
No of semi-detached houses							<b>19.5</b> (included above)
No of semi-detached bungalows							<b>2</b> (included above)
No of terraced/ mews	<b>10.1</b>	22.1	31.8	<b>11.7</b>	21.5	29.9	<b>7.1</b>
No of flats and apartments	6.4	9.6	13.6	6.3	11.4	15.4	3.1
No of caravans	0	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.2	0
No of mobile/ park homes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
Total Number of accommodations	2167	137377	2943032	2250	141276	3002651	749

\* % of total number of accommodations at the base of the column

\*\* Data provided by CWaC indicates that some accommodations were empty viz 27 detached houses/ bungalows, 20 semi-detached houses/ bungalows, 11 terraced houses/ bungalows, 20 flats/ maisonettes or apartments i.e. a total of 78 empty accommodations.

The HNS has no results from empty properties.

It is considered that the over representation of detached houses and bungalows is a result of the skewed age profile of the respondents to the survey.

Q4 (745 responses) asked for information about the numbers of bedrooms in the property. Table 5 provides a comparison of the HNS data and that obtained in the 2011 census.

Table 5 census data (see next page) shows the parish has less 1 to 3 bedroom properties than the CWaC and NW regions and more 4 and 5 bedroom

properties – indeed more than double the proportion of 4 bedroom properties. The distribution of property sizes (indicated by the census) may have contributed to the proportion of older residents in the village - since there are proportionately fewer smaller properties for the younger residents/ first timer buyers to buy.

**Table 5: Comparison of Accommodation size (number of bedrooms) with census data**

No of bedrooms	2011 (QS411EW)			HNS
	Parish	CWaC	NW Region	Parish
1	<b>3.6%*</b>	7%	9.5%	<b>1.5%</b>
2	<b>15.2%*</b>	23.6%	28.5%	<b>12.2%</b>
3	37.7%	46.8%	45%	32.9%
4	37%	17.5%	13.1%	45.4%
5 (and more for census)	6.4%	4.9%	3.7%	7.4%
6 & more	-	-	-	0.7%
Total number of properties	2250	141442	3009549	745

\* % of total number of properties

Compared to the 2011 census data the HNS data show the responses are somewhat low for the 1 to 3 bedroom properties and higher for the 4 bedroom and above properties. Once again this is considered to be a reflection of the skewed age profile of those responding to the survey i.e. the older generation who own large detached houses.

However, whilst recognising this issue it is considered

the survey is sufficiently representative of the village to form the basis of policy development.

## 2.4 Tenure (747 responses)

Q3 asked for information on the ownership status of the home. There were 747 responses to this question. Table 6 provides a comparison of this data with that of the 2001 and 2011 censuses.

**Table 6: Comparison of tenure information with census data**

Type of tenure	2001 (KS18)			2011 (KS402EW)			HNS
	Parish	CWaC	NW Region	Parish	CWaC	NW Region	Parish
Own outright%*	<b>43.6</b>	32.1	29.8	<b>46.7</b>	34.7	31	<b>68.1</b>
Own Mortgage/ Loan%	<b>41.3</b>	42.1	38.9	<b>37.3</b>	36	33.5	25.7
Shared own%	<b>0.4</b>	0.6	0.6	<b>0.3</b>	0.8	0.5	-
Social Rent Council%	<b>8.2</b>	12	13.6	<b>3.6</b>	7.4	7.7	<b>1.2</b>
Social rent (Housing Assoc)%	<b>0.3</b>	4.5	6.5	<b>4.1</b>	7.3	10.6	2.3
Rent private %	<b>3.2</b>	5.7	7.7	<b>6.1</b>	11.3	14.1	2.4
Rent other e.g. tied/ sheltered accommodation free etc %	<b>3</b>	3	3	<b>1.9</b>	2.4	2.5	<b>0.3</b>
Total Accommodations	2119	132887	2812789	2250	141442	3009549	747

\*% of total accommodations

The census data in Table 6 shows the very high levels of outright / mortgage ownership compared to the CWaC and NW regions. The proportions of rented properties are consistently lower than these regions. If the proportions of outright and mortgage tenure are totalled for the Parish for both 2001 and 2011 they are ~84%. It should be noted, however, that the level of outright tenure has increased in

2011 with a balancing reduction in mortgage tenure. Accepting that the age profile of the village has been shifting upwards – see table 2 – it is perhaps not surprising that the older residents are more likely to own their property outright, and that the reduction in mortgage tenure may reflect a fall in families within the village.

For the HNS data the total of outright and mortgage tenure is roughly 10% higher; again this is thought to be a reflection of the skewed age profile of survey responses.

The change in the rented sector between 2001 and 2011, shown in census data, probably reflects societal development in that the number of houses rented from the Council decreases while renting from Social Housing providers increases markedly. However, it is also clear that the renting sector is significantly under-represented in our HNS data compared to the census data.

## **2.5 Discussion/ Conclusion**

While the survey is aimed at assessing future housing needs, it is necessary to understand the existing housing stock. This will enable policies to be proposed in the Neighbourhood Plan that will shape the development of the village to meet the future requirements.

The census data indicates that the village accommodation is dominated by houses and bungalows - the majority of which are owned outright or through a mortgage/ loan. The survey reflects this situation but is somewhat over represented in the larger owned outright properties. The rented sector, although not large anyway, according to census data, is under represented in the survey.

Compared to the census information the survey population profile is overweight in the older age groups i.e. aged >60 and somewhat underweight in the aged 25 – 40 sector. This may reflect the position that the older generation have more time to fill in the survey form. It could also be more fundamental in that people are getting into the property market at an older age than previously. Whatever the

reason, it would appear that the older owner-occupiers responded in greater numbers than other sectors. This is also reflected to some extent in the household profile although the difference from the census information is not particularly marked.

Comparison with census data indicates the survey responses are skewed towards the older respondents. Since the census data indicates that the age profile in the village is already shifting towards the higher end, it is considered that the survey results are a reasonable basis for policy decisions.

## **3. What does it tell us?**

### **3.1 Your Household**

#### **3.1.1 Questions 1 – 5**

The data from Q1 – 4 is given in the section above. That section indicates that the survey responses are weighted towards the older population and the larger houses and bungalows. It is useful to know what proportion of the larger houses and bungalows that are occupied by the older age groups.

Table 7 shows the number of detached houses and bungalows that are presently occupied by the over 60 age group responding to our survey. Table 7 indicates that while ~28% of 3 bedroom detached houses are occupied by the over 60 age group, for the existing 4 and 5 bedroom houses the level is roughly 50%. The bungalow data indicates that all the 2 bedroom properties recorded in our survey are occupied by the over 60s, while ~78% of the 3 bedroom and ~66% of the 4 bedroom are likewise occupied

**Table 7: Occupancy of detached houses and bungalows by the over 60s based on our HNS Survey responses**

Occupancy	Houses			Bungalows		
	3 bedroom	4 bedroom	5 bedroom	2 bedroom	3 bedroom	4 bedroom
Single 60 -74	4	17	1	4	4	4
Double 60 - 74	0	76	11	6	19	15
Single 75+	8	15	1	15	5	0
Double 75+	3	22	10	6	11	6
<b>Total occupied by the over 60s</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>No of properties with given number of bedrooms</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>38</b>
	<b>Total 368 (96% of all detached houses)</b>			<b>Total 119 (93% of all detached bungalows)</b>		

Since the over 60s in the survey are mainly in 1 or two person households – see section 2.2 – this implies that larger detached houses and bungalows are being occupied by 1 or 2 people per dwelling.

Q5 asked for an opinion on whether the existing home was the right size, too big or too small. 741 responses were given with the vast majority (614

or ~83%) considering that their home was the right size. 79 (11%) considered it too big and (48) 6.5% considered it too small.

Table 7a shows the number of responses from older respondents who considered their detached houses to be too big.

**Table 7a: Older respondents who consider their detached houses too big**

Age Group	Total Number of detached houses	3 bedroom	4 bedroom	5 bedroom
60 – 74	29	1	25	3
75+	27	3	18	5

Thus ~71% of the respondents to this question who considered their existing home too big were in the 60+ age group. This is ~7% of total survey respondents. Were suitable alternative (smaller) accommodation to be available within the village then these properties would be potentially available for others in the housing chain. The number of houses involved represents ~15% of the total number of detached houses identified in the survey.

Of those who consider their property to be too small (i.e. 48 respondents) 46% are in the 41 – 59 age group, 35% in the 25 – 40 group and 10% in the 16 – 24 age group. The size of property they occupy varies with:

27% in 4 bedroom accommodation, 38% in 3 bedroom accommodation, 21% in 2 bedroom accommodation and 10% in 1 bedroom accommodation.

These data suggest that there are those within the village who wish to move in the housing chain within the village but are unable to do so. The survey responses to Q23 identify the main barriers to moving within the village. Q21 identifies some of the barriers to those with local connections who wish to move back into the village and Q22 provides some data on those who anticipate moving out of the village.

### 3.1.2 Questions 6 to 9

Q6 requested information on whether the home had been modified to cope with anyone's health issues. There were 745 responses of which 62 (8.3%) answered 'yes'. Q7 followed from Q6 asking whether those that answered 'yes' to Q6 would need a move to assisted accommodation (e.g. sheltered housing, residential care) within 1, 5 or 10 years. There were only 37 responses to this question – i.e. ~60% of those who answered 'yes' to Q6 – of which 15 (i.e. 2% of the original response total) anticipated such a move within 5 years and 22 (~3% of the original response total) within 10 years.

It is assumed that these requirements have been captured in the Future Housing Requirements section.

Q8 asked whether, in the event of ill health, respondents would prefer to modify their existing homes to allow them to cope, or would move to somewhere else. There were 715 respondents and the response was overwhelmingly to stay and modify 72.2% with 27.8% prepared to move.

Recognising that older respondents in larger houses are a significant proportion of survey responses, the preference of the over 60's living in 4 bedroom detached houses was assessed. The results indicate the 72.2% figure only falls to 68% i.e. the vast majority would prefer to stay and modify rather than move. This may be a reflection of the data gathered in the Village Plan survey where the Happiness Index was 8.7 (1-very unhappy, 10-very happy) with only a limited number foreseeing a situation in the next 10 years that would require a house move. It could also be a reflection of the data in Table 7 where the over 60s occupy all of the 2 bedroom bungalows, 78% of the 3 bedroom bungalows, and ~14% of the 3 bedroom houses.

While not large in number, comments associated with Q21, Q23, and Q26 deal with the issue of lack of accommodation for the older age groups and/or the anticipated difficulties as respondents age. However, the data from these questions would suggest that the problem is not seen by respondents as very urgent. This suggests it could be dealt with by a brownfield / in fill type of building programme. This approach would also meet the often expressed village view (e.g. in the Village Plan) that no further large developments are

wanted in the village.

These data would imply that the vast majority of those respondents living in larger houses are happy with their existing property and are not likely to want to move. To try to establish whether this sample is a reflection of the wider experience in the Neighbourhood Plan (NP) area, a team member visited six of the local estate agent offices in the centre of Northwich. The question asked was 'Based on your experience do you have any evidence that people are trying to downsize in the Cuddington, Delamere Park and Sandiway area (i.e. the NP area). A range of answers was received – from a flat 'No', through 'Yes to a certain extent', to 'some weeks downsizing occupies 8 out of 10 enquires.' With hindsight it is apparent that this range of responses was to be expected dependent on the predominant client base that each agent serves.

It is judged that the general agent consensus was that 'Yes downsizing activity does go on in the NP area, but not at a level greater than such activity in the rest of the Cheshire area.' Unprompted, one agent noted that this was slightly surprising given the 'demographic' in the NP area. Overall, the agents' general impression was that people 'upsized' into, and 'downsized' out of, the area - not least because of a lack of bungalows and smaller houses in the area. One agent did note that he had some evidence of clients in the older age group moving out of the area to live near relatives.

This information would seem to suggest the survey results are representative of experience in the wider area.

It is interesting to note that Q27 (asking what accommodation those wishing to move would require) received 218 responses or ~29% of the total survey response. While the basis for the responses is clearly different the numbers of those who might move if they were to suffer ill health, and those looking to move within 10 years are at least reasonably similar.

Q9 (737 responses) asked whether the respondent was currently on the Housing Waiting list. Only 3 respondents said that they were. This suggests that this is not a route by which people within the village look to move. However, the skew in survey responses towards those who own outright or have

mortgages may mean that the survey does not capture this data effectively.

### Conclusions/ Discussion

Larger detached houses and bungalows, which are potential family homes, are presently occupied by one or two person household(s). As the occupants age it is possible that they will find the larger houses and gardens more difficult to manage. Suitable attractive accommodation within the village would allow people to downsize without losing amenity, releasing mature homes to the next generation. Such smaller property could also provide an entry point into the housing market for those just starting out.

Simplistically it would appear that the housing chain within the village has stalled. There are those who consider their property too large and those who want to move up the property size profile. The difficulty

may well be that those wishing to downsize are looking for accommodation with amenities similar to those they have now but in a smaller property. These may not be available at present in the existing smaller property market.

If future housing provision provides for older residents' downsizing requirements then existing larger houses will be released on to the market. This will reduce the requirement for new build of such houses.

### 3.2 Use of Transport

#### 3.2.1 Q10 Car Ownership

Q10 asked how many cars did the respondent own. There were 736 responses and Table 8 provides the data.

**Table 8: Car Ownership**

No of cars owned	% of total number of responses
None	4.5
1	36.8
2	47.4
3	8
4	2.5
5 and more	0.8

Table 8 indicates that 95.5% of respondents own at least 1 car and that 59% own 2 or more cars. This suggests that new build provision should cater for at least two cars. A few comments associated with Q11 – see below -suggest that, with existing parking provision, the need for visitors parking results in

cars having to be left on the roads and verges.

Q11 (714 responses) asked how many cars did the respondent have parked in the places identified. Table 9 provides the data.

**Table 9: Car Parking**

	No of responses	No of cars parked in places indicated				
		1	2	3	4	5 or more
In the garage	262	212	47	3	-	-
On the drive	538	257	244	34	3	-
In the road outside the house	52	39	9	1	3	-
On the verge outside the house	19	16	1	2	-	-
In designated parking areas	18	10	5	1	1	1

Table 9 indicates that the vast majority of cars are parked in the garage or on the drive. However, calculating the number of cars involved from the table indicates that ~10% of them are not parked within the house plot boundary. In view of the daily experience of problems with cars on footpaths and

verges, reported by residents in both the Village Plan and the HNS Surveys, checks were undertaken to see whether this result arises from skewing in the survey responses. Table 10 gives the number of cars associated with specific accommodation type.

**Table 10: No of Cars associated with Accommodation Type**

No of cars	Detached house	Semi-detached house	Terrace/ Mews	Bungalow	Semi-detached bungalow	Flat/ Apartment
1	106	58	30	53	8	16
2	209	60	16	57	4	3
3	42	11	2	3	1	3
4	12	2	1	3	0	0
5 or more	4	1	0	0	0	0

Table 10 indicates that detached houses and bungalows are associated with more cars per household. These properties are more likely to have some car parking space within the house plot. Since these properties are heavily represented in the survey it is likely that the data in Table 9 represent the position associated with the larger properties in the village.

Thus these data do not undermine the conclusion above. It should be noted that there were a few comments on this question to the effect that the garage was full so it could not be used for the car. This could suggest that the property did not provide sufficient space for all the accoutrements of a modern family!

There were 27 responses under the 'Other' section of the question which are listed in Appendix A. As would be expected they give a range of reasons why cars are parked where they are, including the small size of modern garages, use of garages for other purposes, the availability of parking space and problems with neighbours' cars, etc.

3.2.2 Q12 & Q13 Distance travelled to work and means of transport.

Q12 (341 responses) asked about how far respondents who were working travelled to work and Q13 (334 respondents) asked about the means of transport. Table 11a & 11b provide a summary of the data

**Table 11a: Means of travel to work**

Means of travel**	Cuddington	CWaC	England & Wales	HNS data
	%*	%	%	%
Work mainly at or from home	9.4	5.9	5.4	-
Underground, metro, light rail, tram	0.3	0.2	3.9	-
Train	1.8	2.0	5.2	5
Bus, minibus, or coach	0.9	3.5	7.3	0.6
Taxi	0.4	0.5	0.5	-
Motor cycle, scooter, or moped	0.5	0.6	0.8	-
Driving car of van	76.7	68.4	57.5	83.8
Passenger in car or van	3.9	5.6	5.1	-
Bicycle	1.2	2.7	2.9	1.9
On foot	4.5	10	10.7	8.6
Other	0.4	0.6	0.6	-
No of residents aged 16 – 74 in employment	2436	160138	26526336	617

\* % of total number of people at column base

\*\*2011 census data sheet QS701EW

HNS car includes Driving car or van plus passenger in car or van i.e. 76.7+3.9=80.6

Table 11a contains 2011 census data on means of travel to work. The census information indicates that Cuddington Parish uses more vans and cars compared to the CWaC area and England and Wales. Cuddington Parish has a higher proportion of people working from home and travelling on foot. The lower levels travelling by bus or bicycle travel presumably reflect the poor provision for cyclists in the village and support the Village Plan survey and Housing Needs Survey findings on the poor bus service for the village. The HNS data reflects this

reality. Since the HNS survey asked less detailed questions it is considered that the use of cars should be compared with combined car, van and passenger data – census 80.6% cf HNS Survey 83.8% - and that the ‘on foot’ data should be compared with the census working in/ from home and ‘on foot’ – census 13.9% cf HNS Survey 8.6%. Given the size of the sample it is considered that the HNS survey is sufficiently similar to allow valid conclusions to be drawn.

**Table 11b Distances travelled to work**

Distance travelled to work	%* of people travelling
In village	12
0 – 5 miles	19.9
6 – 10 miles	14.5
11 – 20 miles	25.5
21+ miles	28
<b>Total number of people</b>	<b>592</b>

% of total number at foot of column.

Table 11b shows that over 67% of people travel more than 6 miles to work and (ignoring those who work in the village who can, presumably, walk to work) ~20% work within 5 miles. The data on the means of travel suggests that all those who do not work in the village travel by car. While it is recognised that there could be a variety of reasons for car use e.g. children being dropped off on the way to work, this is considered to be a reflection on the state of the local transport infrastructure i.e. lack of bus cover, lack of safe cycling routes, etc. This finding is supported by extensive criticism of

the bus service within the village provided under Q31. The Neighbourhood Plan has only a limited influence on these matters but issues like the allocation of space for bus access to estates and provision of car parks where cars can be left before buses are caught do lie within its scope.

Q14 (130 responses) and Q15 (133 responses) cover similar ground to Q12 & 13 but asking about travel to places of education. Table 12 provides a summary of the data obtained.

**Table 12: Means of Travel and Distances travelled to places of education**

Distance travelled to study	%* of people travelling	Method of getting to place of study	%* of people using this method
Study at home	1.3		
Study in village	38.5	On foot	26.9
0-5 miles	42.4	Bike	4.7
6-10 miles	5.2	Bus	12.4
11-20 miles	5.2	Train	8.4
21+ miles	7.4	Car	47.7
<b>Total number of people</b>	<b>231</b>		<b>298</b>

% of total number at foot of column.

Table 12 shows that ~40% of students study in the village and ~42% within 5 miles. Only 18% travel more than 5 miles and yet the means of transport

indicates that 47% of journeys are by car. 31.6% are on foot or bike which, it is assumed, is mainly in the village.

This seems to imply that a substantial number of journeys to schools, etc within the village are by car, again calling into question the effectiveness of local transport infrastructure and the provision of safe access to schools by foot, bike and bus.

### Conclusion/Discussion

New build provision for car parking should be for at least two cars with either on plot or common provision for visitor parking.

The data collected on distances travelled to work and means of transport to get to work supports comments made on the inadequacy of the local transport infrastructure.

Improvements are needed to the local infrastructure to facilitate safe access to schools, etc by foot, bike and bus.

### 3.3 Village Facilities

#### 3.3.1 Use of Village Facilities

Q16 asked for information about the use of facilities within the village and the respondents' opinion as to whether there were enough at the present time. Table 13 provides a summary of the data.

The first column gives the number of responses to each section of the question. The data under the 'Yes' and 'No' columns is the percentage of the number of responses to that section of the question. It should be borne in mind that respondents who did not skip the question completely did not always complete both parts of the question.

Table 13 (below) gives an indication of which local facilities are most used by respondents. These are convenience stores (98% of respondents), post office (95%), newsagents (94%), garages/filling stations (85%) greengrocers (81%), and doctors (77%).

**Table 13 provides the summary data in terms of % of total responses.**

	No of responses	Do you use them		Are there enough	
		Yes	No	Yes	No
<b>Baker</b>	674	71.07	27.3	69.88	11.42
<b>Butchers</b>	723	81.47	17.84	81.33	1.38
<b>Cattery</b>	624	9.78	89.42	51.28	1.44
<b>Car Wash</b>	662	41.09	58.16	59.06	5.29
<b>Child Care</b>	603	9.12	90.38	33.83	4.64
<b>Church Halls</b>	663	47.51	51.89	61.69	1.21
<b>Convenience stores</b>	729	97.81	2.06	78.6	4.39
<b>Dentist</b>	675	42.07	57.78	49.33	17.78
<b>Doctors</b>	714	76.05	23.25	44.96	30.39
<b>Dry Cleaners</b>	676	62.72	36.83	69.53	2.66
<b>Facilities at Blakemere</b>	674	72.26	27.3	62.17	6.82
<b>Garage/ Filling stations</b>	701	85.16	14.98	74.32	4.71
<b>Greengrocers</b>	716	81.42	18.58	66.76	10.2
<b>Hairdressers</b>	679	54.05	45.36	70.54	2.21
<b>Kennels</b>	609	4.93	94.58	41.71	4.6
<b>Newsagents</b>	728	93.68	6.18	77.61	1.65
<b>Post Office</b>	734	94.96	5.18	60.9	19.89
<b>Play Areas</b>	635	40.94	58.27	54.33	8.35
<b>Playing Fields</b>	637	44.27	55.26	58.87	6.12
<b>Public Houses</b>	661	61.57	37.82	64.9	9.98
<b>Takeaway Restaurants</b>	660	54.85	44.7	62.58	8.64
<b>Restaurant/ Café</b>	638	45.45	51.25	38.24	34.33
<b>Vets</b>	634	33.28	66.4	58.04	3.79
<b>Village Hall</b>	667	59.22	40.48	69.12	1.35
<b>Wine Merchant</b>	647	41.42	58.27	64.45	2.63

The table indicates that, in terms of the facilities that respondents were asked about, overall they are broadly satisfied with the existing level of provision. However, a significant proportion of respondents felt that provision of some services is insufficient: e.g. restaurants/cafes (34% answered no the question 'are there enough?'), doctors (30% answered no), dentists (18% answered no) and post office (20% answered no).

The responses were cross-referenced to question 18 (which asks respondents to note which landmark/area of the village they live closest to) to see where the lack of facilities is felt most acutely.

**Restaurants/cafes:** Of the 218 respondents who felt that there are not enough restaurants and cafes in the area, ~13% gave Delamere Park West as their closest landmark/area; ~8% were near Sandiway Library, ~8% were near Glebe Road, and ~7% were near the Methodist Church or The White Barn.

**Doctors:** Of the 217 respondents who felt that there are not enough doctors surgeries in the area, the same areas were the most represented: Delamere Park West, Sandiway Library, Methodist Church, Glebe Road, The White Barn, as well as Cartledge Close .

**Dentists:** Of the 120 respondents who answered that they do not think there are enough dentists in the area, the representation again is similar: ~15% were in/near Delamere Park West, ~10% near Sandiway Library, ~7.5% near Glebe Road, and ~6.7% near each of Delamere Park East, Cuddington School, and Sandiway School.

**Post Offices:** Of the 146 people who do not think there are enough Post Offices in the area, the areas represented are again broadly the same: ~23% live in/near Delamere Park West, ~8% in Delamere Park East, ~8% in/near both the Methodist Church and The White Barn, and ~7% in/near both Sandiway Library and Glebe Road.

These data suggest that some of the difficulty is poor local transport infrastructure in that most of the areas identified are some distance from the facility in question.

However a further check was undertaken for the Dentist and the Doctor to see whether those who are dissatisfied with the service are in the older age groups. Of the 217 respondents who think there are not enough doctors, 67% of the respondents are 60 or over. Of the 120 who think there are not enough dentists 59% are 60 or over.

### Conclusion

Although the majority are satisfied with the local facilities, there is potential problem with access for those that live some distance from the facility and for those in the older age groups.

There were 70 comments under the 'Other' section. The comments are listed in full in Appendix A.

The comments are dominated by the inadvertent omission of both the Library and the Pharmacist from the list of village facilities on the form. A variety of additional facilities are identified – some like a hardware/ DIY store – have been tried in the village previously and have subsequently closed. Some comments support the numerical data regarding problems with the availability of cafes and restaurants, doctors, post office, fishmongers etc but also mention other leisure facilities. There are so few comments on specific proposals that it is difficult to make any judgement on the commercial viability of such proposals.

### 3.3.2 Q17: Weekly shop

Q17 asked for information of where the main/ weekly shop was undertaken. 713 responses were made and table 14 summarises the data.

**Table 14: Location of main/weekly shop**

Location	In the Village	Northwich	Winsford	Chester	On-Line
% of total number of responses	6.1	69.4	14.9	1.1	8.4

The popularity of Northwich is clearly demonstrated. However, there were also 124 responses under the 'Other' section. These comments indicate that often multiple centres were used involving the local villages and other big centres e.g. Warrington and out as far as Liverpool. In the "Other" section the most popular alternatives are Northwich and Winsford (both ~20% of responses), followed by 'in village' (~15%), on-line (~12%) and Weaverham (11%).

### 3.4 Location

Q18 asked respondents to choose a local landmark that 'most closely matches where you live.' 739 responses were made. Table 15 provides the % of the total response from each landmark area. Delamere Park East and West have been added together.

**Table 15a: % of total Survey Response (739 responses) from each landmark area.**

Location	% of total number of responses
Blakemere	1.5
Cartledge Close	5.4
Delamere Park	24.5
Methodist Church	6.6
Round Tower	3.8
Sandiway School	4.1
St Johns Church	3.9
Blue Cap	4.1
Cuddington School	5.1
Define Wines	2.6
Glebe Road	5.4
Sandington Drive	4.3
Shell Garage	0.9
Village Hall	3.1
Bowling Green	3.9
Eden Grange	1.9
Pinfold Hollow	0.3
Sandiway Library	11.6
Spruce Avenue	0.1
White Barn	6.8

The median proportion of responses across all areas contributed by the 60 and over age group is ~69%, clearly indicating the skew in the response data. For various locations the contribution of the over 60 age group varies between 29% and 100%. It is noted that Delamere Park contains roughly 16% of the houses in the village but contributes ~24% of the responses. Since their responses are ~84% from the over 60 age group and Delamere Park has larger houses they contribute significantly to the skew in the survey findings.

the Delamere Park contribution does not dominate the overall responses. The distribution of responses is considered to be sufficiently representative that conclusions from the survey are adequate for policy formulation.

**Table 15b: Distribution of Responses by Ward**

Delamere Park	24.5%
Cuddington	43.5%
Sandiway	31.9%

However, a simple allocation of the responses to the wards in the parish – see table 15a – indicates that

### 3.5 Future Housing Requirements

#### 3.5.1 Q19: Change of family accommodation the next 10 years

Q19 asked whether the respondent envisaged any changes in the family accommodation in the next 10 years. There were 732 responses. 69% said 'No' and 31% said 'Yes'. However it was noticed by the entry team that respondents sometimes answered 'no' and then entered a requirement for housing under Q28. It was realised that the question was open to misunderstanding. Asking an older person whether their family was likely to move might elicit an answer of 'no' even though the respondent was contemplating a move. The response data for this question needs to be viewed in that light.

#### 3.5.2 Q20: Family members moving into the village

Q20 asked for information about those family members who had moved away from the village but would like to move back. There were 358 responses to this question of which 311 said 'no' and 37 (i.e. ~10% of responses) said 'yes'. Of the total number of survey responses ~5% answered 'yes' to this question.

#### 3.5.3 Q21: Barriers to moving back into the village

Following from Q20, Q21 asked for the reasons that prevented the family members moving back. There were 64 responses to this question but it should be remembered that respondents were encouraged to tick as many boxes as they wished. The numbers in the table are, therefore, more like 'votes' for a particular option.

Table 16 provides the summarised data.

**Table 16: Reasons for family members not being able to move back into the village.**

Reason	Votes for Option
Nothing they can afford to buy	25
Not enough affordable accommodation	17
Nothing of the right size	6
Employment	14
Negative equity	3
Not enough properties for rent	8
Not enough accessible accommodation	5
Children's education	2

The responses 'nothing they can afford to buy', 'not enough affordable accommodation', 'nothing of the right size', 'not enough properties to rent', and 'not enough accessible accommodation' account for more than 75% of the 'votes'. While it should be noted that this result is based on ~8% of the total survey responses, the data (together with the thrust of the comments under 'Other') suggests that the size and cost of housing being built within the village is not meeting the needs of the existing inhabitants and family members seeking to relocate back to the village. The responses to Q23 further underpin the results from this question and the conclusion drawn from this data.

There were 13 answers given under 'Other' reasons. (These are listed in full in Appendix A). Some relate to the need for suitable accommodation e.g. bungalows and the others deal with a range of issues.

#### 3.5.4 Q22: Moving out of the village

Q22 asked respondents who were intending to move out of the village when it would be likely to occur. 127 responses were made and Table 17 provides the summarised data.

**Table 17: Respondents intending to move out of the village**

Timescale for move out of village	% of total number of responses to this question
In the next year	11
In the next 5 years	44.9
In the next 10 years	44.1

127 responses is 16.9% of the total number of survey responses suggesting that accommodation is likely to become available over time.

### 3.5.5 Q23: Barriers to moving within the village

Q23 asked respondents who wished to move within the village but were unable to do so to identify the

reasons by ticking a range of options. Multiple choices were possible so the number of choices does not match the number of responses in similar fashion to Q21.

79 responses were received to this question. Table 18 provides a summary of the data.

**Table 18: Options selected by those who wish to move within the village but are unable to do so.**

Option	No of 'votes' for option
Nothing you can afford to buy	27
Not enough affordable accommodation	12
Nothing of the right size	31
Negative equity	3
Not enough properties to rent	3
Not enough accessible property	8

Table 18 indicates that ~83% of the 'votes' cast are covered by the options 'nothing you can afford to buy', 'not enough affordable housing' and 'nothing of the right size'. Although the emphasis on the rented sector is less, the picture is very similar to that indicated by the responses to Q21.

### Conclusion

Evidence suggests that, assuming respondents to Q21 are the same people as those responding to Q23, then for about 10% of the total survey respondents the size and cost of housing being built within the village is not meeting the needs of the existing inhabitants.

There were 32 responses in the "other reasons" section that are listed in full in Appendix A. The largest single issue (~ 37% of responses) is the lack of accommodation for the older age groups e.g. suitable for the elderly with facilities on the ground floor.

### 3.5.6 Q24 and 25: People needing accommodation in the next year and the next 10 years.

There were 35 responses to Q24 (those likely to need different accommodation in the next year)

and 138 responses to Q25 (those likely to need different accommodation in the next 10 years). This suggests that roughly 1 in 20 of respondents consider they could need different accommodation in the next year, and between 1 in 5 and 1 in 6 of responding households consider they could need different accommodation in the next 10 years.

Table 19 gives the age profile and numbers of people considering moving in the next year and table 20 the same information for those considering moving in the next 10 years. However, the data in table 20 is not considered an accurate reflection of the actual ages of individuals in 10 years time.

A large proportion of people did not appear to move age groups when they entered the data into Q25. They appeared to have entered their current ages i.e. the ages identified in Q1. This would seem to imply that all the respondents were in the bottom end of the older age ranges so the addition of 10 years does not change their grouping. While this is possible, a more likely explanation is that the respondents simply forgot to add the additional 10 years. The actual age profile is thus subject to uncertainty.

**Table 19: Age Profile/ Numbers of people considering moving in the next year**

Age Range	0-15	16-24	25-40	41-60	60-74	75+	
							Total
No. of people	13	11	22	3	11	9	69
% of total survey respondents in this age range	5.7	8.9	14.2	0.8	2	3.4	

The total of 69 people indicating that they are likely to move within 1 year is 4% of the total number of people who responded. Of the 13 in the 0-15 age range 9 live with people aged 25-40 (5 in one family), 2 with people aged 41-60, and 2 appear to be entered on their own. It may be that these two are vulnerable youngsters needing accommodation. Of the 20 people who are in the 60+ age ranges 14 of them live in 1 or 2 person households.

There are two households of more than 5 people.

Table 19 indicates that the majority of those who are likely to need different accommodation in the next year are in the younger age groups.

Turning now to those who will need accommodation in the next 10 years Table 20 is given below.

**Table 20: Age Profile/ Numbers of people considering moving in the next 10 years**

Age Range	0-15	16-24	25-40	41-60	60-74	75+	
							Total
No. of people	48	27	20	51	74	64	284
% of total survey respondents in this age range	20.8	21.9	12.9	13.5	13.8	23.8	

The 284 people indicating that they are likely to move within 10 years represent ~17% of the total survey response. The table shows the 74 respondents in the 61-74 age group are ~14% of all survey respondents in that age range and the 64 respondents in the 75+ age group are ~25% of all survey respondents in that age range. Perhaps the somewhat larger proportion of the 75+ respondents compared to those wishing to move in the next year is to be expected. Of the 138 people who are in the 60+ age ranges 17 live in single person households and 92 of them live in 2 person households.

should be shaped to ensure that the requirements of all age ranges of village residents are considered when planning future housing.

### 3.5.7 Q26: Suitability of present accommodation

Q26 asked respondents who considered their home unsuitable to indicate why – providing a range of options and allowing respondents to tick as many boxes as they wanted. This means that the data provided is effectively the number of ‘votes’ that each option received. 100 responses were received suggesting that about 1 in 8 are dissatisfied with their present accommodation. Table 21 (below) provides the data received. Of the 41 ‘votes’ associated with the ‘too big’ category 35 were cast by the 60+ age groups. These age groups also provided 20 out of the 26 ‘votes’ for the health/ mobility category. Perhaps as expected, all of the ‘votes’ for the ‘too small’ category come from the 25-40 and the 42-59 age groups.

Compared to those likely to need an accommodation change in the next year, only the 24-40 age range shows a reduction while the older age ranges – i.e. 41+ - show the largest increase.

### Conclusion

The young - i.e. <40 - dominate those who are likely to need a change in accommodation in the next year while the older age groups (41+) dominate the those likely to need a change in the next 10 years. In the timescales in which Neighbourhood Plan policies are likely to come into force little can be done directly to help those who are likely to need accommodation in the next year. However, policies

There were 41 comments in the ‘Other’ section. These are listed in full in Appendix A. 61% of the comments deal with existing or anticipated difficulties as the respondents’ age. A proportion of the remainder deal with the opposite issue i.e. the need for more space.

**Table 21: Reasons for the present home being considered unsuitable**

Reason	No of 'votes'
Too small	26
Too big	41
Need to live independently	12
Need to be closer to family	13
Too expensive	4
Health/ mobility problems	26

### Conclusion

The reasons for unsuitability of present accommodation are dominated by 'too big', 'too small', and 'health/ mobility' problems. The older age groups dominate the 'too big' and health/ mobility' categories, while the younger age groups the 'too small' category. This problem with older respondents is reinforced by the comments. It would appear that the present house building programme does not address the requirements of the local residents. The policies defining the future housing build profile needs to facilitate the accommodation for both young and old.

#### **3.5.8 Q27: What sort of accommodation would you, or your family members, need to move to?**

There were 218 responses to this question i.e. 29% of the total survey response total. The question offered 13 categories of accommodation with from 1 to 5+ bedrooms. Respondents were encouraged to tick as many categories as their family will need. Respondents thus treated the questions as pick and mix so that the number of choices made far outweighs the number of responses. For individual responses the number of categories chosen ranges from 1 to 12 (this latter respondent noting that the respondent was answering for themselves and their parents) with an average of just over 2. Thus the data collected is in the form of 'votes'; the higher the number the more popular the category is.

The 218 responses include those requested by the people who wished to move in the next year

(35 responses), those requested by people who anticipated moving in the next 10 years (138 responses), and those who entered data in this question but not in Questions 24 and 25.

An analysis has produced summary tables of the number of choices (or votes) against the accommodation type for the people who wish to move within 1 year, those who wish to move in the next 10 years and using the full 218 responses to Q27. In Appendix B this has been taken further and tables of household size against number of bedrooms for each accommodation type is provided. These tables enable an assessment to be made of which type and size of accommodation is most popular for given household sizes. In Appendix C a similar analysis has been undertaken but this time the tables are of age range against numbers of bedrooms. These data allow an assessment to be made of which size and type of accommodation is most popular for the different age groups.

#### **3.5.8.1 Those wishing to move in the next year**

Table 22 provides the summary data for those wishing to move within 1 year and is based on 35 responses.

**Table 22: Summary of number of options selected by those wanting to move within 1 year**

Accommodation type	No of Choices	No of bedrooms				
		1	2	3	4	5
Detached House	15	0	4	7	5	2
Semi-detached house	12	0	5	5	4	1
Large Terrace	3	0	1	1	1	0
Small Terrace	5	1	3	2	0	0
Ground Floor Flat	7	2	5	1	-	-
Flat above ground floor	6	2	5	0		
Detached Bungalow	12	1	7	6	1	1
Semi detached bungalow	8	1	3	3	1	1
Caravan Park	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sheltered housing	3	0	3	0	0	0
Sheltered + Extra care	1	0	1	0	0	0
Residential Care	2	0	2	0	0	0
Care within home	2	0	2	0	0	0

As noted above this table is best read as a set of votes. Column 2 (No of Choices) gives the number of times the given accommodation was chosen. (Bear in mind that multiple choices for a single respondent was usual.) Thus the higher the number of choices the more popular a given type of accommodation is. Reading across the rows gives the popularity of the size of the accommodation. (Since the respondent making a selection of, say, a detached house can have chosen any number of bedroom options - say 3,4,or 5 bedroom- the number of votes in the row does not have to total the number of choices)

Thus for table 22 above detached housing is the most popular choice followed by semi-detached housing and detached bungalows which are equally popular. Semi-detached bungalows are marginally more popular than the ground or first floor flats. The small number of responses precludes any real judgement of the requirement for assisted living accommodation. Recognising that this is based on a limited number of responses (35) it is discussed in some detail to explain how the analysis works.

For the detached housing 3 bedrooms is the most popular size although 4 and 2 are not far behind. Appendix B indicates that a 3 bedroom house has the widest appeal for household size (i.e. 1, 2, 3 and 5 people households have expressed an interest) and Appendix C shows that it supports the widest range of age groups (all age groups except those in the 75+ group). Although based on a few data points,

Appendix C indicates that the majority of interest comes from the younger (i.e. 0-15 to 25 – 40) age groups.

Turning to semi-detached housing it is clear that there is not a strong preference between 2, 3, and 4 bedroom properties. Appendix B would suggest that 2 and 3 bedroom both cover 1,2,and 3 person households while the 4 bedroom property goes up to a 6 person household. Appendix C indicates that the 4 bedroom option covers all age groups, while the 2 and 3 bedroom options are predominantly of interest to the younger i.e. less than 40 age groups.

As far as the flats are concerned 2 bedroom ground or first floor flats appear to be the most popular. Appendix B indicates they are of most interest to 1 and 2 person households while Appendix C shows the ground floor flats are of interest to practically all age groups while the first floor flats appeal to the younger age groups i.e. less than 40 year olds.

### 3.5.8.2 Those wishing to move in the next 10 years

Table 23 provides the summary data for those who wish to move in the next 10 years based on 138 responses.

This table indicates that 2 and 3 bedroom detached bungalows are the most popular option, with 3 bedroom detached and semi detached housing fairly close behind. 2 bedroom ground floor flats are also popular.

The higher demand for assisted living accommodation may be a reflection of the increasing number of people in the older age groups.

Appendix B indicates that 2 and 3 bedroom bungalows have a very similar appeal for household size with 2 bedroom appealing to households of 1 to 5 person households and 3 bedroom to 1 – 4 person households. Appendix C indicates that both accommodate the full age range but they are mainly of interest to the older age groups i.e. predominantly 60 +.

The 3 bedroom detached houses cover up to 6 person households and all age groups, while the 3 bedroom semi-detached houses cover 1 to 4 person households and are of interest to all age groups up to 60 – 74 years.

Both 2 bedroom ground floor and first floor flats cover 1 – 4 person households and the full range of age groups.

**Table 23: Summary of number of choices selected by those wanting to move within 10 years**

Accommodation type	No of Choices	No of bedrooms				
		1	2	3	4	5
Detached House	44	1	7	22	13	8
Semi-detached house	25	1	9	14	5	1
Large Terrace	6	0	3	4	1	0
Small Terrace	15	2	13	2	1	0
Ground Floor Flat	29	7	20	9	-	-
Flat above ground floor	19	6	15	1		
Detached Bungalow	57	6	29	26	5	1
Semi detached bungalow	30	3	19	11	3	1
Caravan Park	4	1	3	2	0	0
Sheltered housing	24	10	14	1	0	0
Sheltered + Extra care	17	8	8	1	1	0
Residential Care	15	12	3	1	0	0
Care within home	10	7	4	0	0	0

Turning to assisted living accommodation, as expected, 1 and 2 bedroom sheltered accommodation is of interest to 1 and 2 person households. Perhaps surprisingly, it is of interest to all age groups except the 24 – 40 group. The small numbers make it difficult to judge but there

appears to be a very small demand from the younger groups as well as the older age groups. This may be indicative of vulnerable people within the village being considered by some respondents. The other types of assisted living accommodation tell a similar story.

### 3.5.8.3 Use of all the responses to Q27

Table 24 is generated using all the data entered for Q27 i.e. 218 responses.

**Table 24 Choices of Accommodation**

Accommodation type	No of Choices	1	2	3	4	5
Detached House	84	1	13	47	28	12
Semi-detached house	46	1	15	24	12	2
Large Terrace	15	0	6	9	2	0
Small Terrace	22	3	16	5	1	1
Ground Floor Flat	39	10	26	11	-	-
Flat above ground floor	27	8	21	2	0	1
Detached Bungalow	84	7	44	41	8	2
Semi detached bungalow	43	6	25	15	4	1
Caravan Park	7	1	5	5	0	0
Sheltered housing	33	12	21	1	0	0
Sheltered + Extra care	21	9	10	2	2	0
Residential Care	21	15	6	2	0	0
Care within home	32	11	16	4	0	1

Using all the responses to Q27 indicates that detached houses and bungalows are almost equally popular. Semi-detached houses and semidetached bungalows are about half as popular. For the detached houses the most popular is 3 bedroom while for detached bungalows 2 and 3 bedroom are nearly equally popular. For semi-detached houses and bungalows 2 and 3 bedroom properties are very similar in popularity.

Appendix B indicates that for detached houses 3 bedroom covers 1 – 5 person households while for semi-detached houses 2 bedroom has the widest household range also covering 1 – 5 person households. Appendix C indicates 3 bedroom detached houses cover the full age range but interest is weighted towards the 41 – 74 age groups. Perhaps as might be expected Appendix C shows the 4 bedroom detached houses are of interest to the younger age groups.

For detached and semi-detached bungalows Appendix B shows 2, 3 and 4 bedroom accommodation cover the full range i.e. 1 – 5 bedroom households. Appendix C indicates the 3 bedroom semi-detached houses cover all age groups except the 75+ group while the 2 bedroom covers all except the 0 – 15 age groups.

Two bedroom ground and first floor flats are slightly

less popular than the houses and bungalows. Appendix B shows that these flats are of interest to the full range of households i.e. 1 – 5 person households while Appendix C indicates both ground and first floor are of interest to all age groups.

Sheltered accommodation is focussed on 1 and 2 bedroom properties and it is worth noting that, while the predominant interest is for 1 and 2 person households, the data suggest 2 bedroom accommodation would be of interest to the full household range i.e. 1 – 5 person households. It is also noteworthy that both 1 and 2 bedroom accommodation is of interest to the full age range – once again suggesting that vulnerable younger people have been identified in the survey.

The other assisted living categories have similar trends but even with categories like ‘care within homes’ the age analysis indicates a surprising range of ages that have been identified.

### 3.5.8.4 Comparison of accommodation types and sizes

Table 25 contains data extracted from the tables above. It allows a comparison of accommodation types and sizes on the basis of the household range and age range that each accommodation type and size support. The analysis attempts to make a

judgement of which accommodation types and size provides the best fit given that any future building programme will be limited in extent. Not every

combination is included in the table – it is aimed at those which appear to be the most popular in any category.

**Table 25: Comparison of accommodation types and sizes**

	Detached House			Semi-detached house			Small Terrace		
	No of Bedrooms			No of Bedrooms			No of Bedrooms		
	2	3	4	2	3	4	1	2	3
Household Range (HR) (persons)	2-4	1-6	1-5	1-4	1-4	1-5	1-3	2-4	3-4
Most Frequent HR	2	2	4	2	2	4	-	2	-
Age Groups	16-75+	Full	Full	16-75+	0-74	0-60	0-74	0-74	0-60
Age Group with most people	16-40/ 61-75	41-74	25-60	16-24	61-74	25-40	-	16-24	-

	Ground floor flat			First Floor Flat		Detached Bungalow			Semi-detached Bungalow		
	No of Bedrooms			No of Bedrooms		No of Bedrooms			No of Bedrooms		
	1	2	3	1	2	1	2	3	1	2	3
Household Range (HR) (persons)	1-4	1-4	1-4	1-4	1-4	1-4	1-4	1-4	2-4	1-5	2-3
Most Frequent HR	1	1 & 2	2	-	2	2	2	2	4	2	2
Age Groups	Full	Full	Full	0 - 74	Full	Full	Full	Full	Full	Full	0-74
Age Group with most people	0-15/ 41-75	16-24/ 41-74	41-60/ 75+	41-60	16-24/ 75+	61-75+	61-74/ 75+	61-74	61-74	41-75+	41-60

	Sheltered Housing		Residential Care		Care in home	
	No of Bedrooms		No of Bedrooms		No of Bedrooms	
	1	2	1	2	1	2
Household Range (HR) (persons)	1-3	1&2	2-4	2	1	1&2
Most Frequent HR	1	2	1	2	1	1
Age Groups	41-75+	0-15/ 41-75+	40-75+	75+	41-75+	0-24/ 61-75+
Age Group with most people	75+	75+	75+	75+	75+	75+

The object is to maximise the household range and the age range covered by any particular accommodation type.

**Conclusion**

Table 25 suggests the following options maximise the household range and the age range covered for particular accommodation types and sizes:

3 bedroom detached house

- 3 bedroom semi-detached house
- 2 bedroom small terrace
- 2 bedroom ground and first floor flats
- 2 bedroom detached bungalow
- 2 bedroom semi-detached bungalow
- 1 bedroom sheltered accommodation
- 1 bedroom residential care
- 2 bedroom care in home

Policies should aim to maximise the delivery of these types of property in future.

### 3.5.9 Q28: What kind of home ownership/ tenure would be suitable for you or your family.

There were 206 responses to this question i.e. ~27.5% of the total number of survey responses. The question offered the same 13 categories of accommodation as Q27 with 7 options for ownership/ tenure.

The respondent was asked to indicate the type of ownership or tenure they would require for each of the accommodation types identified in Q27. Since there were 218 responses to Q27 it is clear that not everyone who responded to it completed Q28 but the vast majority did so. As with Q27 the numbers should be taken as ‘votes’ indicating the popularity of the various alternatives.

A summary table is provided here of the analysis of the 206 responses. Further analysis has been undertaken for those respondents who wish to move in the next year and in the next 10 years. However, the summary tables are so similar that it is not considered additional tables would add anything extra. For each accommodation type Appendix D provides a detailed analysis of the most popular ownership and tenure options against the size of the accommodation i.e. number of bedrooms.

Table 26 (below) makes it crystal clear that the vast majority of respondents wish to take the outright ownership or mortgage options across all

accommodation types.

This result is consistent with the findings of the 2001 and 2011 census reports and is, perhaps, not surprising given the bias of respondents towards the older age groups.

There is a comparatively low level of renting demand indicated in the table with the most popular options for renting being flats and assisted living accommodation. Appendix C suggests flats and assisted living accommodation are of interest to the older age groups – with flats being of interest to the 41 – 75+ age groups while the protected accommodation is dominated by the over 60 age groups. It is possible to speculate that this demand is a result of people wishing to downsize from larger accommodation but hard evidence is difficult to deduce.

### Conclusion

While the preferred ownership/ tenure options across all accommodation types are outright ownership or mortgage, there is a (lower) level of demand for rented accommodation in the flats and assisted living accommodation types. Since the survey is skewed towards the older age groups (usually with owned accommodation) the fact that there is the indicated level of demand for rented properties may not be representative of the true demand for such property within the village.

**Table 26: Overall Summary table of Type of Preferred Tenure vs Accommodation Type (based on 206 responses)**

Accommodation Type	No of Responses	Own outright	Own Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Share	Self build	Buy to rent
Detached house	89	47	45	3	2	1	3	1
Semi detached House	42	15	26	6	2	1	0	1
Large Terrace	15	5	6	4	1	0	1	1
Small terrace	22	5	14	6	6	2	0	0
Ground Floor Flat	36	21	11	8	7	2	0	0
Flat above ground floor	24	10	8	9	4	2	0	0
Detached bungalow	81	71	9	4	4	0	2	0
Semi detached Bungalow	36	23	8	2	7	0	0	0
Caravan/ Mobile	6	5	1	0	0	0	0	0
Sheltered Housing	22	13	2	3	5	0	0	0
Sheltered Housing with Extra care	21	10	2	4	9	0	0	0
Residential care	15	8	0	5	3	0	0	0
Care in Home	25	22	2	1	0	1	0	0

### **3.5.10 Q29 & Q30: Potential Capital contribution/affordable rent.**

Q29 asked respondents who wished to move and wanted to buy property in the village for the first time how much they could afford to pay. The objective of the question was to get some idea of the level of financial contribution that respondents could afford – either as capital investment or rent. Depending on the level of response it was hoped some indication of the requirement for affordable housing for village residents could be obtained. It is recognised that CWaC have a policy on the number of affordable houses that have to be provided when estates are being built but this policy is based on the whole area for which CWaC is responsible.

There were 26 responses to Q29 i.e. 13% of those who identified a requirement for accommodation under Q27 or 3% of the total number of survey responses. The possible capital contribution ranged from zero to £375k. Of the 26 entries 8 were £100k or less, 14 were between £100k and £250k and 4 were in excess of £250k. The median (the middle value of the range) and the mode (the most frequently occurring value in the range) was the same at £150k. A rapid survey of Rightmove and Zoopla for the village area at the end of November 2016 turned up 3 three bedroom houses at less than £200k (£110K, £160k, £180k depending on age and area) while the most expensive 3 bedroom house was £335k. There were few bungalows with only one, 2 bedroom bungalow for £250k. There were a couple of 2 bedroom flats – one for £130k and another for £220k. While the median value of £150k is just sufficient to buy a house at the bottom end of the open market, an informal discussion with Weaver Vale Housing Trust indicated it would provide a reasonable contribution to an affordable house.

Q30 yielded 17 responses (~8% of those responding to Q27 and ~2% of total survey responses) ranging from zero to £750 per week (pw). The mean was £194 pw and, once again, the median and the mode were both equal at £150 pw. Of the 17 responses 4 were less or equal to £100 pw, 8 between £100 and £150pw and 5 more than £150 pw. The Rightmove / Zoopla survey noted above did not show much rentable accommodation in the village. One 3 bedroom house was advertised at £625 per calendar

month (pcm), a one bedroom flat was £495 pcm and a 2 bedroom flat £575 pcm. While the upper end respondents may well be able to afford commercial rents it is apparent that those in the intermediate and lower end would fall into the affordable housing sector.

### **Conclusion**

Of the people who responded to Q27 requiring accommodation some 20% answered the financial questions about what they could afford to invest in a house or to pay in rent. The numbers provided suggest that there is a demand from the village residents for affordable housing (either part buy or rent).

### **3.6 Q31 Additional Comments**

There were 595 comments made under this question and they are provided in full in Appendix A. Some of the comments do not fall within the scope of a Neighbourhood Plan. Some 62% of the comments are considered pertinent to issues which the Neighbourhood Plan may be able to address, although a significant proportion replicate those found by the Village Plan Survey. Those that represent more than 5% of the total relevant comments are briefly summarised below.

22% of these comments deal with the lack of bus services within the village and to local centres surrounding the village. The accessibility of the Chester bus, particularly for residents of Delamere Park, gives rise to the suggestion that the bus could either be directed through Delamere Park or alternatively parking and a bus stop be provided at the railway station end of the village. This would also satisfy the comments of the people who live at that end of the village who complain of a total lack of a bus service there.

Comments on parking problems within the village add up to 19% of the total relevant comments – an issue that is being addressed by actions already taken by the Parish Council and by the Village Plan Implementation Team. However, the comments provide support for the suggestion that new house build needs to have adequate parking for a modern family and visitors.

A further 19% of the relevant comments deal with house building itself. Those comments objecting to any further new build total 9% of the relevant comments, those suggesting more bungalows are required total 6% of those comments, and those asking for more affordable housing total 4% of the comments. They support conclusions drawn from numerical data in the rest of the survey.

Lack of facilities in the village – both now and in the future – add up to 15% of the total relevant comments. They range across a variety of issues e.g. shortfalls in existing services, doctors, dentists;

suggestions for more leisure facilities, e.g. clubs and exercise areas for teenagers, seated areas for the elderly; concerns regarding the schools' ability to cope; concerns about the existing infrastructure, etc. The continuing requirement for development of the village shops is also noted.

A further 7% of issues deal with traffic calming and speed limits within the village and on the more major roads adjacent to the village.

It can be seen that the majority of the issues support the findings in other parts of the survey.

## **Cuddington Parish Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group - November 2016**

## **Appendices to HNS Report**

# Appendix A

## List of Comments from each Question (with thanks to Urban Imprint)

### 1 All Comments on Question 11 – Other places you park your car

Likely to have one or two more cars in the next twelve months.

or on the drive

car is parked either in garage or on drive

Half on the road and half on the verge

On the verge in icy weather

In storage

We live in a courtyard type area, in a corner property, which makes parking a bit difficult for us. Our current neighbour lets us park in front of his house. Visitors tend to park in a nearby car park or across the courtyard.

Parking spaces used by visitors

Will be able to park all 3 cars in our property in a month from 25.4.16

Where ever is free.

No car

I don't have a garage. However residents that have a garage/ driving bay, 2 places of parking still park on the road taking up limited car spaces

Neighbouring cars parked on road and on footpaths

Sometimes other people's cars are parked on verge/road outside

In carport

W.A.V. too big for garage: garage used for mobility aids storage

Dropped verge, tarmaced outside house.

No cars parked at this property unless visiting

Off road parking for 4 cars.

Motorbike in garage

Occasionally relatives have to park on the verge outside - usually between 1 & 2 vehicles.

The third car will be in the garage once it's been emptied

We have a garage but it's full with bikes and toys

I have a garage but can't get in or out of car since my hip operation

The problem is neighbour's vehicles which are not cars. We permanently have a milk float parked in front of our house and twice a week the same person parks a large white rental van on the corner outside our house too. At no time have we been asked if this is OK. I spoke to the community police officer who said there is nothing that can be done. I found this amazing since the milk float makes it dangerous to cross the road since it severely restricts a clear view of on coming traffic. We own our home outright whereas the van and milk float belong to a council house resident. We have lived at our current address since 1987 and we did not buy a house to feel as though we live on a lorry park. Consequently we have our blinds in our lounge closed most of the time so we do not have to look at it. Furthermore the resident concerned has ruined the grass verge in front of our house (it barely exists) which reflects on our property. I am torn whether it would be better if all the verges were tarmaced by the council. Ironically too, whilst others (including us) have lost garden to create drives, the said occupant has not. Where is the justice in being a responsible house owner?

The garage is too small to fit a modern day car in. Our drive is full and we will shortly be getting another car for my son when he passes his driving test, so that will have to be parked on the road.

We don't have a garage or driveway, just one allocated parking space. So the second car is parked in the cul-de-sac

### 2 All comments on Question 16 – Use and availability of village facilities

Would be better if Doctor was open all day. No nice place to eat or drink in the village.

Tennis Club

Sandiway Library-we use regularly	movement away from supermarket from outside areas as well
Pharmacist	Library - please ensure this facility remains open. Should be on your list above, particularly when on list to return paper form to and used to promote Neighbourhood plan. Pharmacy is also used regularly.
Bowling green	Pharmacy
Livery stables - not enough	A chemist in Cuddington would be good and a post office. It's a fair walk to Sandiway
Library	Need more doctors, post offices, more civilised less selfish parking eg outside health centre entrance, Sandiway school blocking sight lines and access
Question mark against restaurant/cafe and child care in column 3	restaurants dining in
Doggie Daycare, Dog Groomers	Accessibilty now very poor - survival rests on success of BARONS QUAY and free parking 4 hrs.
Chemist, Sandiway Library	Village is missing coffee shops and restaurants
Library - use regularly	We do not have a fresh fish shop, only a van to certain areas.
Chemist, Library	Enough convenience stores but not very good.
Northwich	Very rare since post office/ shops too expensive
Library, Preschool	Varies weekly
Bus to Chester, Library, Chemist and Electrical Shop	Library
No handy store for hardware etc	Post Office not suitable for needs
Chemist & church both used. Better grocery shopping needed. Library with internet access.	Inadequate shopping facilities
Hardware shop	Would like a homeware/interiors shop, wine bar, tea shop
Could do with a local gym	Doctors surgery should be open all and every day
Need a cafe and better pubs in the village	Proper bus service with more stopping points especially on Norley Road
We need a small Sainsbury or Tesco. The Spar is not sufficient and Northwich is a bind to get to	Library: yes; DIY : yes
Only been here a couple of months - not sure if enough or not. Library important	Library: yes
Library	Library: Yes, important to continue
Would use if I could get there	Library and Church
Chemist	Doctor/ dentist need bigger surgery
Tea rooms would be welcome	Library : enough
Library	Extra parking in front of shops
We need more parking for the shops in Sandiway. It's always a nightmare trying to park and adding more housing will only strain this further.	
Not enough dog poo bins	
Library	
Fishmonger, cafe, artisan shops to encourage	

Church

Chemist

Doctors has parking problem. Blakemere should not be developed. White Barn is an eyesore

used to use Blakemere until they wanted to build houses.

A DIY store would be beneficial as the nearest is Weaverham or Northwich B&Q.

Keep library. Need a good restaurant/ coffees shop

library : yes social help: no

Blakemere facilities too noisy

I have only been resident in the area since June 2015 and I am sure all of the facilities listed above will be used by me.

We really need more places for people to meet ideally a coffee shop, better quality pubs and restaurants.

Also use the pharmacy and the library

Lack of professional services in the village such as solicitors/accountant and now the estate agents has gone. The new financial adviser is a good addition to the facilities in the village

Library use - yes

School, all the new builds mean that our childrens classes are getting bigger, this does not benefit the children

Gym, beautician, child friendly café

### **3 All comments on Question 21 – Other reasons for family members not being able to move back to the village.**

We would probably prefer a bungalow in a few years with less bedrooms

apartment to rent/own privately family sized home but accessible accommodation at the same time

Need decent sized bungalows built.

Very difficult to get planning permission. The PC SEEMS TO OPPOSE EVERYTHING UNLESS YOU ARE A BIG BUILDER. no jobs since the yoghurt factory closed

No high end restaurants, shops etc. Therefore would need to drive on social occasions as taxi to Chester, Knutsford expensive - also parking at local stations now very difficult !

Emigrated. I'm 86 so I think I'll move out of the village when I die.

Parents would like to move back

Moving out of village - see below - due to no bus service to Weaverham School. Child safety!

Satisfied where they are

Why would you want to live in a village that is so overcrowded??? Major traffic problems

Members already moved back here

No family

We would probably prefer a bungalow in a few years with less bedrooms

Nothing suitable for the elderly

### **4 All comments on Question 23 – Other reasons for not being able to move within the village.**

need 3 bed large family house with accessible shower room and ramps/doors bedroom downstairs

Looking for just the right property at the right price

Nothing ultra modern - even new buildings are old fashioned in exterior design

For family reasons if we were to move it would be closer to Warrington

We have a small scale farm in cuddington and want to build a farm house there but know before we try that the PC will oppose us and fight it all the way. Not worth trying. We'll go where we're wanted.

One won't move. So will get help to remain in present home.

Need 5+ beds for growing family but not in our bracket.

Would move nearer to family in Frodsham or St Helens

Moving due to senior schools

McCarthy and Stone type development would be

useful - of a suitable site could be found - only larger family houses being built now.

May want/need sheltered housing with extra care but this is not available in the village

Not enough bathrooms

Need to move out of rented accommodation so need to save deposit

Many houses built in the late 1950s have no downstairs toilet facilities which is becoming a problem for older residents, now and in the future.

Looking for a bungalow but location is key to this move. All new property seems to be houses 2 or 3 stories high.

May need to move nearer to shops, doctors, etc if unable to drive. Would need to find an appropriate property at that time of in anticipation.

Lack of retirement living accommodation i.e McCarthy & Stone, Churchill, etc.

moving out of area to Hertfordshire in the next year

I think there is a shortage of 2 bed apartments (not assisted living ones)

Would likely need a "granny annex" to allow some degree of independence to grandparent(s) that need care, but don't want to go into a home.

We are in our 60s and eventually will want either a bungalow or apartment. There is not enough stock in the area.

Our 26 year old son has moved back home after being in Australia for 3 years - now saving to buy a house

Not enough bungalows

Bigger houses overpriced

Wouldn't want a new build but would like a 4th bedroom, would probably extend, only if/ when we have 3rd child.

There are enough new build homes in area - but NO DOCTORS & New schools. The roads are inadequate A49 packed!

There is a lack of executive level family housing on reasonable sized plots (not the shoulder-to-shoulder type being built at present), and there is a complete absence of self-build type plots, so

although we hope to remain within the village, we may well decide to move out if we cannot find a suitable house/plot within a convenient time frame.

Downsizing is difficult as most accommodation is detached and large

Need property priced about £100/ 120k or so.

Moving into new build later this year

Size and quality

No bigger bungalows or houses with suitable sleeping and bathroom facilities on ground level.

### **5 All comments on Question 26, other reasons that your home is unsuitable**

no access doors - from external and internal no stair access no downstairs bathroom/ bedroom

Would like a warmer home with nice outlook with certain nice aspects

Need to downsize especially when one partner dies

OK now but in ten years??

Need a bus service to connect Delamere Park to the village, Northwich & through to Chester.

Senior school

Garden too small

Garden too large

4 bedroom house not suitable for our increasing age

The house is great but we can't rent forever!

Noisy Road

Suitable at present but may become unsuitable in future if health deteriorates or if I have to stop driving.

Potential future health/ mobility problems. Location of home would be a problem if unable to drive and lack of public transport.

Aging

Need to be closer to the shops doctors surgery + library nice walking distance. We might have health or mobility problems in the next 10 years. House

and garden will be too big

Total lack of suitable public transport within walking distance for elderly and inform

My husband died 18 months ago and I have no-one in Cuddington to look after me. I'm on Swopshope site and also on transfer list. Need to get to Weaverham to be near to my daughter -it would cost £10 per day to get up to me. She could not afford to do this. I love where I live it's a great place and very nice people. I have had a doctors letter but I don't think it has helped me much. So I just keep my fingers crossed.

Also answering for parents who want to move back

Require sheltered housing/ retirement/McCarthy & Stone type accommodation

Not unsuitable at the moment, but because of age, could envisage change due to size of property

Somewhat isolated

Respondent notes that transfer to burial ground and house sold is one option not identified!

Move to annex with daughter to help with care of family member

Too big in the future - still ok at present

Husband has Parkinsons disease but at the moment is coping but over the next 10 years could become an issue

Will need to downsize in 10 years

Starting to feel the need for more space but not from 'too small' perspective. Just want a bigger garden and different layout.

Planning to convert garage for parents to move into as one has health issues. Parents already living in Cuddington/ Sandiway

Village over crowded (not being addresses). Traffic using village as rat run (not being addressed)

Neighbours who have parking facilities not using them (hence taking up off road parking. Very large white commercial vans that block light into houses allowed to park outside residents houses.

Over time (q25) we would require downstairs who're/bathroom as our present house built 1911 has very steep,stairs

Would like a good-sized 4-bedroom family home, with a decent garage standing in its own grounds.

Very happy but difficult site makes future uncertain. Didn't envisage this 27 years ago when we moved here for retirement

The garden is becoming too large as we get older

Neighbours obstructing our driveways making it difficult for our family to access our property (Nixon Road)

Will be ready to downsize

Really hate all the building work - housing estates built at A49 presents traffic problems and children have lost free school bus. This building work almost lost me my job because I was late so many times. I want to leave due to all the building work-schools full traffic terrible. There are far too many new houses being built!

Just a possibility on age grounds 86, 87 in 10 years time. Hopefully ok and not moving but if have to move see Q27

Coment against 61 - 74 years : Hopefully partner will have joined me by then.

Need something like a largish bungalow as we age but remain independent and can accommodate family when visiting us.

Too built up

**6 All comments on Question 31, Further points & top 3 priority issues**

Derelict property Warrington road. Too much new property being constructed causing traffic/ overcrowding problems

Parking in areas around shops and library inadequate Road surfaces poor. Too many potholes. Potholes left to the public to report via internet. Grass verges in some areas in poor condition due to parking

Would like speed limit of 40 on A49 to be extended beyond Eden Grange toward Weaverham

dog walking area on park please - in a fenced compound to protect passers by and enclose dogs off lead no reference to teenagers clubs/activities/ outings should be a seated area in an ornate garden for elderly to walk to near park and shops and 4 benches at both shops areas for disability

people to rest

parking close to the village shops is often difficult I think making Ash Road a 20 mph zone would make motorists slow down, particularly on the corner near the primary school Dogs fouling the pavement in some areas of the village is a significant issue. There is often dog poo on the pavement near Cuddington primary School

In 20 years need for bungalow In 25 years need for residential homes/apartment with 24 hour emergency call service and facilities

Insuring no further large housing developments within Sandiway and Cuddington. The two major roads that encompass the village still need to be addressed. Traffic lights at define need to be right turn only lanes on to Dalesford Lane and School Lane.

There needs to be a focus on dealing with the drug problem currently happening in the village and surrounding area. Cuddington Park is regularly used as a "base" for this. There needs to be properties so that children coming of age can buy a house in the village. Currently my children will have to move away and would prefer to stay in the village.

Too many developments for housing. Not enough public transport. Not enough jobs to support the new residents.

An area for self build housing should be available. Maintain greenfield sites-use brownfield. Improve frequency of buses and trains to avoid traffic congestion

More parking facilities at Mere Lane Shops

Please provide a bus route to include Delamere Park

Why is there no public transport from Delamere Park to Northwich/Weaverham/Frodsham

Parking at shops. People are using Mere Lane as a park and ride to Chester or at Norley Road for the station. Make it short stay for the public with a separate section for staff with a coded barrier for entrance

Sorry not a very helpful contribution but i have only just moved here from Devon 5 weeks to be near family Parking appears to be an issue at the

smaller shopping centres e.g. Sandiway. Public transport to Northwich if one becomes unable to drive

Other medical services or clinics using the GP centre when surgery times are closed

Bus service needed nearer (respondent gives Glebe Road as landmark.) Parents crossing Norley Road to school with smaller siblings and not using zebra crossing Parking cars in St John's hall and getting out of cars and walking straight across but drivers are being blamed for not stopping why should we after coming across zebra

Road calming measures on Norley Road for pedestrian safety!

It would be good to have a bus through Cuddington even if it was only a couple of days a week. Maybe a circular from Weaverham

Too much development without any extra facilities Village becoming another commuter town

I do not believe that people have an automatic right to live somewhere just because their parents live in that village. Young people need to have aspirations. Buy in an area you can afford and move later Q30 £100

Speeding traffic + hgv's on Norley Road

I feel there is enough housing and a range of types in the village I do not feel further building in the village is necessary or sustainable

No development of office space No care home village is mentioned in plan. No mention of public transport or congestion

Aged 80 plus my family will eventually take care of me. They do not live locally. Answers to last two questions in 27 were question marks - couldn't enter and had to settle for ticks

No appetite in the PC to support new business initiatives which will create jobs and services in the village. The PC just wants things to stay as they are. Need more services to cater for all the new houses built here. There's not enough social life. People don't want village fete they want more modern exciting entertainment. Village Queen etc v antiquated and sexist. Get with it Cuddington. This is 21st century. At least make her a warrior Queen or something more empowering.

I would like the village to retain the feel of a village: green space, playing fields, limited further development, character. I would like to take the train to Manchester where I work but it is a very slow train line with many stops. Perhaps a few limited stop 'express' services could be out on during the week for commuters. Any scope to improve cycle routes/paths would be welcome. thanks!

There is too much house building going on in Cuddington, Sandiway and Northwich area. The roads are getting too busy and speed limits are being reduced lengthening travel times + petrol useages constantly variable speed limits are confusing and annoying. We have lost our country village feeling. I moved here 32 years ago to get away from town living. Please stop building!!

Do not wish to have any more housing developments. More bungalows needed only. As a result of new housing developments the roads are too congested. THANK YOU FOR ALL THE WORK YOU ARE DOING ON THE NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

Dangerous parking outside Sandiway school at pick up and drop off

Bus Service. Bus Service. Bus Service.

Public transport. Need to use a car to get to the public transport.

I would support further parking provision at the shops in the village. Living on Delamere Park it is not easy to access the bus into town or to Chester. Parking provision in the village could perhaps include some extra spaces for those wishing to use the bus.

A pavement or cycle path to connect Delamere Park to Cuddington would be safe and beneficial. A bus service from Delamere Park would assist all non-drivers esp. older residents.

Better parking facilities at Cuddington / Sandiway shops. Update the park area on Norley Road. I believe we have enough new home in the village and wish builders would stop building - eventually we will have issues with schools, doctors + congestion on roads !

I am 82 years of age - with cancer and heart arythma - and live alone. Currently maintain fitness

by regular visits to the gymnasium.

Parking for stations - and bus route: almost impossible. Parking - suggest parking near the Lounge Bus Stop on A556 - does council have any land ? Doctors: surgery building and opening hours not fit for purpose (Frodsham offer superior service). Suggest looking at building Retirement Village - similar to Larkhill Retirement Village - Nottingham: Councillors would need to visit to realise what can be achieved for active healthy "senior years". (DO NOT COMPARE WITH EXTRA CARE WINSFORD !!) (Far too small).

Speed of traffic down the hill from Delamere Park past Brook Farm on Norley Road. Blind drives at above. 30 mph signs should be further up hill and there should be one on traffic lights with A49 going down hill towards 1 above.

Safe cycling routes badly needed especially for children. Very poor public transport to Delamere Park (unsafe road acces with no pavement to Cuddington).

Divert no.82 bus every 2 hours via Delamere Park. The above will reduce car parking congestion in the Sandiway shop area.

Bus service to Delamere Park from Chester.

I have lived in my home for 47 years!!!

Lack of public transport and safe walking areas into Cuddington & Sandiway. We need a pavement, traffic drives very quickly along the lane and makes little provision for pedestrians. We need the resumption of a bus service, the nearest bus stop is in Sandiway & the one mile plus walk is a hazardous one. We need a pavement so that we can walk safely along the lane to the railway station, Cuddington & Sandiway shops. It is very dangerous to do this at present, the traffic is heavy and fast.

Local bus service

Speed of traffic. Too many pigeons

General care and maintaining of public space, particularly re grassed areas and verges. Encourage civic pride. Litter and dog fouling - more voluntary (if necessary) encouragement to keep our village clean and attractive. Invest Council precept on improvements to make shopping parades more attractive e.g. canopies as

the Europeans

I understand that the free school bus to Weaverham High School has been stopped. The A49 is not a safe route to school for the children to walk or cycle. Lack of a free bus service may stop people from buying houses in the area.

Some public transport- bus service

Road maintenance (potholes repaired) road drains cleared out to stop flooding. Tree maintenance. Pathway from Delamere Parkway to Cuddington Village

Bus service on Delamere park maybe twice a week to Northwich and Chester at least Quite number of single ladies who do not drive on Delamere Park who would love a bus service

Lack of public transport tends to create dependence on own private car - eventually forcing a move out of village and into town.

It would be sad to have to move away if we needed to move to a bungalow, so more bungalows to purchase would be reassuring.

Transport. Bus services from Delamere Park to Northwich and Chester. People who find themselves without a car or driver as they get older are "stranded" at Delamere Park.

No bus services. No bus services. No bus services.

Could do with a safe footpath from Delamere Park to the Village. Could do with a regular bus service to Weaverham.

Stop building so much property. Leave some space please. Large gardens are a property requirement. Do not keep closing greenbelt i.e. Delamere Forest is being so reduced.

Would like to downsize but not to a "Dolls House" would like to move to a smaller property with good size rooms

Parking spaces near post office in sandiway. No more doctors or dentists opening even though so many houses being built

Parking in the village is awful. I have two young children and even trying to get parking for the cash machine is difficult. It cause me to drive elsewhere. Having the Post Office in the Spar is awkward for parking. Queues - it is very busy and

isn't big enough. The village should aim to be more like Hartford where parking is possible and the shops are more for shopping rather than necessity. A nice restaurant or wine bar or coffee place would be great.

There needs to be a credible bus service for school children to use to go to local schools eg Cuddington to Weaverham , Cuddington to Northwich

A direct bus to Weaverham - currently you have to go into Northwich and come out again to go to Weaverham. Public rights of way access between East Lane and Rosslyn Lane blocked by a resident on East Lane. Now everyone has to walk around taking an extra 10 mins a day. See [www.gov.uk/right-of-way-open-access-land/use-public-rights-of-way](http://www.gov.uk/right-of-way-open-access-land/use-public-rights-of-way)

Need to protect the green belt and promote more green spaces + wildlife Future developments need to consider impacts on village traffic volume Consider facilities for older children eg skate park, outdoor exercise machines

No cash machine at Blakemere

Although any new developments will be inevitable this should be small scale developments i.e. no larger scale developments. A public meeting should be called for any housing developments or new build of any sort to enable proper consultation and input. Although new properties will all be built to the latest building regulations which are fairly energy efficient, the developers should be obliged to make a contribution to the energy efficiency improvement of other buildings in the village -particularly the fuel poor.

As a couple we would like to stay in the village for evening entertainment - only Blue Cap and White Barn. New properties are being built and there is only one way in and out. When the development is finished it will cause congestion in the mornings and evenings. There is not enough communication of what, if anything, is happening in the village.

I live in Cuddington. It would be so much better if the Northwich-Chester buses would have an extra stop by the White Barn. I will have to move eventually because of bus stops not being near.

Please could consideration be given to having a bus service to Delamere Park to Chester and

Northwich More parking at shops in Sandiway

Public transport to Northwich and Chester from Delamere Park please Better parking at Cuddington and Sandiway shops, Library and Chemist

If I wanted to downsize there seems to be a dearth of apartments or small houses. All new building/ estates seem to have 3, 4 bedrooms or more

Permanent full time doctors Bus routes to Delamere Park Footpath side walks to Delamere Park

Better bus service and train service to Manchester / Chester Better traffic calming measures in village e.g. not lumps of tarmac but speed sensitive traffic lights Footpath provision from Delamere Park to main village facilities

No footpath from Delamere Park to the village

Bus for Delamere Park

Would welcome a bus service from Delamere Park to Northwich and Chester Too much residential development in the area, it destroys the beauty of the area - use brown field sites only

Concerned about proposed housing development at Blakemere breaching main Chester Road barrier will add to the village losing its identity and will become a mass of urban sprawl. Services such as sewage disposal are at full capacity developers cannot be allowed to add to this problem Developers offering to build a classroom to accommodate increased intake at schools does not solve problem as those extra children progress through school & other classrooms would have to be built thereby doubling the size of the school.

A49 camera coming into the village from Eden Grange end Keep clear sign at entrance of Glebe Road as per Cheryl Court. Weaverham Road car park continued fly tipping (no sign to say not & fine). A49 Nursery causes congestion at traffic lights. Dangerous at times, when car are turning in.

Limited bus service

Nothing entered under housing needed as not yet relevant. Getting to Leighton Hospital is difficult without a car - pensioners and other are likely to give up driving because of health issues. There is now only one bus per hour to/ from Leighton/

Northwich & that has to be coincident with the Chester Northwich bus. There are no questions wrt travel for non working or elderly people.

I regularly use the library, not mentioned in this survey, and feel it is an important part of the village Although shops are plentiful enough, parking around them is not, especially Mere Lane shops. Gets very congested there. There are enough houses in this village for the number of roads, parking and conveniences. Traffic around Ash Road and A49 is too much now. More houses would require more facilities and shops but there just isn't the capacity on the roads or for parking.

Public transport. It really is a disgrace for senior citizens (of which there are a lot in the village.) The buses are out of the ark. Its as (G.H.A) disgrace for the elderly and mums with push chairs. BUT WE NEED A SERVICE.

There must be a limit on the number of new houses being built here - it is totally destroying the character of the area, plus the infrastructure is struggling to cope

Lowered speed limit within 0.5 of a mile of school during arrival and departure times. Speeding cars put parents off walking or cycling and only adds to traffic issues.

Parking on pavement eg Manor Road where cars totally straddle the pavement. Cars are an essential part of modern life so where to park them needs consideration Number of post boxes - we appear to have lost two so far, Weaverham Road and by Define. Increase bus service to serve more of the village (including Delamere Park) and reduce car use.

Restrictions on conversion/extension Utilities including fibre to the premises

Cuddington school needs to improve parking Making more of country walks reducing speed limit to 40mph outside eden grange

Cars should not be allowed to block pavements completely Should bring back PCSO for school parking issues Not picking up dog waste needs to be address as there are plenty of bins

Speeding cars on the A556 by the junction of Sandington Drive. Speed bumps would help this issue Litter bugs in our village Dog fouling

Maintain and develop public transport links - eg Sunday services and Weaverham/Winsford bus link  
Consider cycle facilities - eg racks enabling secure parking- in the area.

Parking !!!! Why can't some of the grassy areas be used for parking like the shops in Weaverham Lime Avenue at an angle this would make more sense Too many new houses for the village to cope with resulting in more people more transport not enough schooling less countryside

Where is the question -do you think we need any more houses in the village? I would answer NO! The village is a VILLAGE, if I wanted to live in a bigger place I would have bought a house in a town. Parking by the village hall/playing fields is getting full. Could we get parking between the v hall and the scout hut? This survey is what is called 'funneling' . When the next request for planning permission is granted and people start to protest the answer will be - we are only responding to what people answered in the survey! I would like to see the roads, the doctor's surgery, the schools and the parking issues being ready to cope with more people BEFORE more houses are built.

Modernise/ invest in Sandiway Park (really old play equipment) Pedestrian crossing to Blakemere craft centre Speed restrictions/ camera on A49 from traffic lights to village centre

Library is not mentioned in Section 4. I believe this to be an essential resource in the village and would not want to lose it through budget cuts. I believe that developers have been given free rein in Cuddington/ Sandiway with little thought for infrastructure i.e schools and doctor's surgeries and roads. This is placing an unnecessary strain on those services in a blind rush to create money for building contractors. Very little provision for families on low incomes.

There were no questions about public transport for the retired or elderly! Where I live we lost our bus service some years ago so this could force me to move if I had to stop driving.

No mention of allotments - promised at least 10 years ago. New houses should have wider roads and more space for parking (people do have visitors) A senior school may be needed as there is no transport to those outside the area

A 49 speed restrictions to be improved

We do not have a local bus service to main shops, doctors etc 20 minutes to bus stop to walk Virtually nil road maintenance in Mill Lane

This respondent ticked 3 landmarks at Q18 cart ledge Close, Round Tower, White Barn

New housing in the village never caters for older people. If more bungalows available this would help people to be more self sufficient in older life. Have you thought about changing the use of the autism school on the A49 to use as 'Retirement Village' - seems to be a large piece of land , nice location that might attract older people to consider and thus generate more housing in the village for younger people. If land was available for sale - not sure that it is but worth investigating.

Congestion in Weaverham Road/ School Lane due to 'rat run' increases traffic volume to/from Winsford Road surface deterioration in School Lane/ Weaverham Road due to illegal access by large agricultural vehicles NOT requiring local access but using as long distance through route.

When permission is given for developments provision is given for affordable housing. But there is no enforced provision for retirement accommodation. Parking areas within developments.

Housing is NOT the issue - infrastructure is! Too many larger houses. Nothing for the village young to enable them to stay.

More dentist More Doctors School Parking at Cuddington Primary

Cuddington and Delamere Park urgently needs a bus service. We would use the local shops more if we had a bus service. If we had a bus service we would not have to park by Sandiway shops to catch the Northwich /Chester bus

More parking in the Village Pavement from Delamere Park to Cuddington

Regular bus service

Please stop building on green spaces. Cuddington/ Sandiway is not a village it a suburban sprawl (not a NIMBY comment same applies to most of the country. Affordable housing needs to be properly defined so that it is affordable for those

who need it. The entire countryside is being developed with executive houses unaffordable to the young and poor. Where are the employment opportunities apart from low paid service jobs. We appreciate that our comments will be ignored. These Neighbourhood Plans are a Government requirement designed to show that people were consulted but "they" will do whatever they want regardless.

BUS from Delamere Park would also save on parking at Sandiway

Still no public transport to and from Delamere Park. Point raised in previous survey.

There are a lot of elderly people who can't drive any more we would like a bus to Delamere Park

Whilst social housing meets the needs of people on benefits there is little opportunity for young adults on low income to find houses There needs to be more affordable housing for this sector of the community

Better planning controls to reduce commercialisation of housing to entertainment venues i.e. Delamere Manor

Lack of public transport particularly to Northwich

I would like more public seats around the village Q19 difficult to predict as I'm in my 80's

Parking at shops

Ridiculous large number of cars parked along Weaverham Road & surrounding roads every Saturday for childrens football. Often over 30 cars on the road. Very dangerous. Will be bad accident very soon due to often stupid parking. Dog waste bins needed in Weaverham Road/ end of Smithy Lane. Enforce 30 mph speed limit of Weaverham Road as people race along that section of this road.

Smaller housing for first time buyers is needed

The public footpaths opposite Ash Road badly need attention!!!

Continuation of local GPs & dental Library  
Opengreen spaces

I would like to have a bus service to Delamere Park as i have to drive to Sandiway to use the bus for Chester

More Parking around village shops Allow for plenty of green space amenities even just a bench under two or three trees and green cycle ways and walkways

Better public transport Delamere Park

Lack of public Transport Delamere park

No footpath and too high speed limit on Norley Road Inadequate bus service from Delamere Park to Cuddington and Northwich Too many speed humps in Cuddington

Sports field and play area needs to be artificial grass to ensure all year round recreation More frequent train /bus routes linking to town centres (Northwich, Winsford, Warrington, Crewe etc)  
More cycle routes- cycle paths

Would love to see speed restrictions reduced to 20mph. make walking to school safer and more enjoyable Part of reason we moved to village was because of the bus to Weaverham High Losing this is very disappointing We need to limit any new build the road parking spaces cannot cope with the current number of residents. We use the train for leisure its great having a station

We will want to move within the village and are concerned about the availability of smaller properties within walking distance of the facilities

Insufficient local transport to Delamere Park. Had to get children through driving tests at 18 and buy cars to allow them to be able to have mobility to visit friends. No safe way of walking from Delamere Park to Cuddington/Norley to get public transport (i.e. have to walk on roads and no street lighting)

Lack of public transport to Cuddington & Delamere Park Lack of footpath to the village from Delamere Park

Railway station is not mentioned in survey, but is important to me Bus service does not include Delamere Park and it is 1.5 mile walk to nearest bus stop, along roads without pavements from Cuddington to the Park.

Please don't build more housing without somehow adding extra parking to Sandiway Mere Lane shops, or considering parking outside new houses.

Desperate need for 2/ 3 bedroom bungalows in the area

Doctors surgery Care support at home (if needed)  
Range of basic shops

Proposed extension to Mere Lane car park is not big enough

Need more primary school places if housing continues to increase, or an additional school  
Small local swimming pool would increase community fitness, place for people of all ages, maybe space in playing field or Blakemere  
Pavements in some areas require attention

Lack of sheltered housing/ McCarthy & Stone type accommodation.

Pressure on local schools

A bus collection/ stop at Eden Grange area into Chester. This would reduce car parking around the library area street parking for people catching the bus at Ash Road.

Doctors There is a huge practice in Northwich which has an out reach service in Sandiway but I do not think this is appropriate to our needs I am not sure how the buses are suitable for disabled use.

Additional parking for Village Hall use. This will avoid dangerous parking on Norley Road  
Additional parking around Sandiway shops and library Consider speed restriction to 30mph on Forest Road on approach to White Barn

Parents parking outside Sandiway School when collecting children and particularly weekends when children are playing football. It is a disgrace that cars park and block the footpath completely.  
The Post Office "Cupboard" is an appalling disgrace - one counter available and always a long queue - waiting up to 30 minutes. Please can we have our village Post Offices back and a Post box in Weaverham Road. The Bus service to Northwich is good, but does not make provision for people living in the northern area of the village.

We would love to have a bus stop near the village hall to travel to Hartford and Northwich

Many more parking spaces near shops To keep the wooded areas and footpaths in good order for the enjoyment of parishioners Our roads are getting badly rutted/bumpy and need attention

Would like to see apartment blocks being built for

retired people - safe and secure

Lack of transport/ buses Lack of street lighting in some areas of the village Local recycling area required

Extra blue badge parking close to shops Better parking facilities in Fir Lane - remove the bollards

We would like a smaller property with a smaller garden

A single person living in a 3 bedroom house is the right size? My home is still 'home' to my children, and 'Holiday home' to my grand children, great grandchildren and cousins living across the UK and beyond. How could I attract them to visit me, and mid Cheshire, so frequently if I could not provide accommodation. Living in single accommodation (as the social engineering brigade would have me do) would make life in my twilight years very lonely.

My major concern about further housing provision in the village concerns the infrastructure to go with it. We currently have at least 3 private housing developments in the village. I just wonder how much thought has been given over infrastructure. If this survey indicates yet more housing provision, the vital consideration in my opinion is road congestion, adequate school places for increased pupil numbers and the necessity of providing more doctors/ surgeries to meet increased demands.

It's a car ride to post office in Sandiway with parcels it's a car ride to Doctors and Chemists. Tried to get to PO + Spar today (3.5.16) had to give up + go to Weaverham couldn't get near for vehicles parks at every space and spaces that weren't even there Because of the above I tend to use those facilities when in Northwich or Winsford, it's convenient and cheaper Cuddington is a super place to live moved here in 1972.

One way system around School Lane? What about tree and woodland development, trees felled no new ones planted At weekends, evenings, school car park locked up so cars all over verges and on roads Due to increased density of housing now social demands such as PO schools, doctors etc were adequate when it was a village now all overwhelmed by overcrowding making roads congested long queues due to lack of provision with increased housing stock. Inhabitants of new houses not mixing with 'village' owners who have

and are contributing to local needs eg village plan meeting

flats within the area rather than houses would satisfy both statistics and needs over costs

Q16 car wash when it's a charity

Dog daycare as well as kennels More bungalows for ageing population

Train and bus services inadequate

village needs one or two charity shops (perhaps Joshua Tree could be persuaded to open one)

More social housing Parking Not enough primary school places

Maintain feeling of being a village not a suburb Moderate traffic through the village particularly speed on A49 and Heavy goods vehicles Improve rail links to Manchester Reduce journey time and better rolling stock

To justify the huge investment in BARONS QUAY CWAC must maintain free parking for minimum 4 hours. The lungs of Sandiway provided by Kennel Lane and Cockpit Lane must be protected from development. The 'natural' settlement boundaries created by the A556 and A49 must not be compromised.

More schools required Too much development Not enough investment in infrastructure and amenities

Moving people into new properties on new estates who are in rented/ social housing doesn't work.i.e. ? housing. Unfortunately they do not appreciate the homes they have and have anti- social behaviour. Consequently people who have bought houses and either have mortgages or own are subject to unwanted noise and behaviour.

Lack of public transport - buses do not run to time. No wet fish shop

If and WHEN will we have the POST BOX again in Weaverham Road

No public transport on Weaverham Road or East Lane. Buses not running to time. Please stop cycling on footpaths. My friend was knocked down - result a knee replacement Due to parking on grass? Verges on Mere Lane are an absolute disgrace

Not enough parking is a real problem at ant time of day

more affordable housing for young people/young families is needed it is easier financially to buy a house on one of the new developments than an older house the village would be more likely to keep its existing facilities if it grew in size

A shop on Delamere Park for those without easy transportation would be good

Recreational facilities for teenagers eg ramps for scooting/skateboarding and basketball

No mention made of parking facilities - a dangerous situation exists because of deficiency.

No more new build houses Stop people parking on the pavement causing prams to go on road Stop parking on grass by library

Parking by the shops/ library is an issue I have no problem with new developments (commercial or residential) within or close to the village centre

No housing on greenfield sites Consideration of traffic & infrastructure requirements of any housing developments

Pavements have not been improved for at least 36 years and are in a dangerous state The roads in Sandiway/ Cuddington are also in need of resurfacing (on the private estates)

OAP needs not sufficiently supplied. 2 OAPs living together may require care home. Dog fouling is not being policed. Car parking remains a problem. I know this is in hand (I hope).

I think the survey is very poor. Your assumption is that everyone wants to live in Sandiway. If I could move I would move tomorrow due to the village being overcrowded & too many people living in the village, too much traffic not making it nice place to live.

We are disinclined from using Cuddington Train Station because scary walk from Delamere Park area along Norley Road The outskirts of Cuddington suffers from unreliable broadband. Slow is frustrating, NONE is intolerable. Hope the new homes building brings better connectivity for the whole area.

Absolutely no point in building more houses when infrastructure cannot cope

More parking needed near Sandiway shops & library

We would like the Post Box on A556 near old Post Office traffic lights to be reinstated ASAP

Too much new property development in village  
Far too little parking available at Mere LANE  
SHOPS Unsafe cycling routes in/out village to other areas ie Northwich/Weaverham. Road furniture has created very unsafe 'pinch points' for cyclists - especially at Eden Grange junction. No cycle lane on high speed by-pass to Hartford.

There is an urgent need for a good quality convenience store. Spar is terrible, we need a Sainsburys local or Co-op

Blakemere has an enormous amount of potential but is badly under invested. It urgently needs to realise its future plans

Lack of public transport e.g. buses. If had health problems in the future and could not drive would have to leave the village

Lack of public transport for the elderly i.e. buses for the elderly living in Cuddington access only available by a long walk Time limit introduced for parking at Sandiway shops. Cars left for long periods by people connecting with Chester.

Q12 all boxes ticked - travel to work distance  
Bus service

Q8 already fully modified therefore couldn't answer Parking. Most cars parked on pavements pedestrians have to walk in road. Access by car onto A49 too much traffic No bus facilities at all from Cuddington village elderly residents unable to walk to Sandiway bus stop. Bus pass therefore useless.

In section 3 on transport people who do NOT work or study are more likely to have public transport needs. Why haven't you asked about that?

We would like a Cuddington police man

Bus services to and from Delamere Park are required

Keep greenbelt free from further development apart from that permitted in national policy and local plan No developments on greenfield sites in view of housing target for area being low for period of current Local Plan

Parking issues around Village hall and Norley Road particularly parking on pavements forcing prams into busy road Travel - vehicles in excess of 7.5 tonnes regularly using Norley Road, particularly Council recycling vehicles - fleets of them early morning. Oh, and just witnessed large green tractor driven dangerously fast down Norley Road witnessed by many passers by. Who is the local farmer?

Speeding along Bowling Green stretch of Norley Road  
Parking at Cuddington shops

What about residents on Delamere Park who do not have access to their own transport or wish to use Public Transport. Why only mention people who are working or in education Pavements to be made available from Delamere Park to allow walking access to Cuddington shops

Green spaces need protecting Better car parking at Mere Lane shops is needed Improved bus service would encourage use of public transport

Concerns about the upkeep of drains - roads.  
Woods Lane continually flooded this winter

Speed limits on our roads - A556 & A49

Wheelie bins and recycling boxes being left outside properties Businesses operating from residential homes. Are they paying business rates and is their recycling business items? Road sweeping/ cleaning & general apathy from CWAC re residential services

More consideration be given to transport into Northwich, Chester and even Winsford for the public who do not have their own transport & for OAP who now do not use their cars Section 3 should cover OTHER members of the public needing transport for other reasons than those given eg shopping and visits to hospitals, etc

Great public transport service via trains Would be great to have non-car route (bike or safe footpaths) to Hartford and Weaverham.

Traffic flow at the junction of School Lane/ Weaverham Road is poor and dangerous due to cars parked close to the junction.

WHAT IS THIS ALL IN AID OF. PROPERTY DEVELOPERS, WE DO NOT NEED ANY MORE HOUSES!!

Better bus service from the White Barn

More parking at both sets of shops More frequent public transport

Bus service via Norley Road

More provision should be made for elderly people to downsize to suitable homes within the village, either to live independently or with warden on call

A quality retirement village such as other counties have would be nice; instead of continuing house estates being built. And keep the green fields we now have. Proper resurfacing of the roads in the parish. Weaverham Road is now getting pitted quite severely. Enforcing ban on pavement parking

More car parking at Mere Lane shops Improve the quality of the roads - not just pothole filling

More parking places at Sandiway shops Accommodation for elderly - flats of bungalows to rent

Require better standard of shops etc to match those in Weaverham

Concerns regarding traffic problems at School Lane/Weaverham Road junction because of parking on School Lane and the amount of traffic using the village as a rat run. People parking on pavements forcing pedestrians into the road - as usual no enforcement Speeding issues - especially on Weaverham Road - never seen any police enforcement

Cars should not be using Poplar Close as a one way system as children live in the Close and may run out into the road. Too many cars parking on the path/road when they have a drive available.

No more houses - too many now Protect green land around village More litter bins and dog bins around play areas None at all other than I have lived at the present address since a schoolboy of 11 years old in 1961. Am now almost 66 years old and hope to end my days here. I am voluntarily giving up driving a car when 70 years old if not before

Facilities within the village which are sufficient at the present would be under pressure if there was more development. Likewise car parking would be even more of a problem.

More parking urgently required around mere lane shopping centre The A49 with Norley Road is

dangerous Traffic on the A49 invariably ignores the traffic lights when on red. This is an accident waiting to happen.

We note the village has no power over planning applications approved or rejected This is CWAC's responsibility and as happens frequently rejection can be overridden on appeal. Regular and frequent litter picking throughout the village especially in the station area Cuddington and Delamere Park should have a bus service. This would relieve some of the parking problems in Sandiway.

Parking - Norley Road shops and Mere Lane shops overflowing onto pavements nearby Norley Road has become too busy. Suspect it's being used as a shortcut from Winsford to the A 49

Moving house is too difficult and stressful for older people. Solution: families living together with grandparents. Difficult to think the ageing population locally needs all the new housing being built. Or is it national requirements creating the pressure? Difficult to see doctor of choice, but ok in general. Post Office often has queues, and little parking. I'd like to see more quality, local shops Bus service needed for Cuddington Post Office needed in Cuddington We need more jobs in the area to support \*existing\* housing capacity and increase the community's sustainability level We need more executive homes/plots recognising the fact that Cuddington & Sandiway are part of the Manchester 'stockbroker' commuter belt Footpath to Delamere Park and to cemetery 20 mph speed limit in all parts of the village Volunteer helpers for infirm and elderly who cannot afford to pay for upkeep of house and garden in order to help maintain appearance of village Mobility Uncertain future of the library - our LIFELINE

Need more bungalows built in the village No more housing estates as too many cars as it is presently

Car parking facilities very poor. More cars need more space

Further residential development will place burdens on Services (Schools/ Doctors etc) and transport infrastructure. Not enough activities for young people. Grass verges are a mess

The play area in Cuddington behind the shops does not provide for younger (preschool) aged children. It is VERY muddy. The library runs a good

range of activities for children during the holidays

The main priority is a BUS SERVICE. Lacking in Cuddington. Very isolated because of lack of bus service - no way of getting into Northwich. Have brought up lack of bus service in Cuddington many times

Price ranges are all in the same bracket ie £300k. Not easy to downsize. Norley Road - people should be discouraged from using it as a rat run - use Shell lights. Failure to put a footpath further down Norley Road towards Delamere means very poor pedestrian access to the village from D Park & Waste Lane

There is not enough parking by Cuddington School or Mere Lane shops There is not enough dentists or doctors in the area for all the new houses being built

Illegal parking on junction of Grange Road, Moss Lane and Fir Lane, causing restrictive views and potential collisions, which never appears to be policed. I would like a traditional fish and chip shop in the village Consider a dedicated cycle track or at least lighting between the village and Hartford to encourage more cyclists to commute to town.

Traffic light jumping at the White barn Doctors not open enough

Parking for parents at Cuddington School not enough ie drop off area Parking at the shops

Litter is a problem /disgrace. I make a point of gathering up cans and bottles when on foot in the village Parking is a problem especially where houses do not have a garage or driveway. More and more families have more than ones car. If / when on-pavement parking( now a great nuisance to the elderly, disabled with a scooter or mothers with prams ) becomes illegal this problem will be come worse

Sandiway/ Cuddington shop access and parking becoming impossible Total lack of infrastructure to cope with current 358 houses being built School Lane traffic and parking serious concern

Parking round shops a big issue. Why can't the green space by the library be tarmaced. Parking at St John's church needs tarmac across the road from the church to allow cars to park

Parking is a big problem outside our property.

Poplar Close is a fast and dangerous road. We are afraid sometimes our vehicles will be damaged and there is nowhere for us to park. 2 residents vehicles have already been scratched by passing cars. People frequenting the shops, dentist & hairdressers park outside our property if there is a space and can be there for some time with no regard for the people who live here. We are met with verbal abuse. We know they pay road tax as we do. However no consideration is given to us who live here. We have seen empty spaces on the car park yet they still park outside residents properties. We fell very strongly about this and feel it needs addressing!!!

Congestion Protection of leisure areas Safe journey to Weaverham High School on foot

Better footpaths/cycle routes into the village from outlying areas

We would like the speed limit of 40mph on the A49 to be extended past Eden Grange on the road to Weaverham

Parking in areas around shops and library inadequate Road surfaces poor too many potholes potholes left to the public to report via internet Grass verges in some areas in poor condition due to parking

To much derelict property on Warrington Road (A49) Too much new property being constructed causing traffic/overcrowding problems Q3 didn't allow me to indicate I work from home

Retention of local doctor's surgery, dentist, library Safe play areas for children to keep them off the roads and local streets which are dangerous. Maintain a good range of shops locally so that all day to day requirements can be met in one area of the village.

Parking opposite another's drive.

Surgery Nursery

Village is close to being overdeveloped with estates. Further development should be resisted. In particular the Blakemere plans for a retirement village destroy the green belt and change the ambience of the village (again) for the worse. There continues to be a need for jobs to be created to match the housing development that has taken place.

Parking is becoming an issue since the Post Office has been located within the Spar shop I have a dog & there is insufficient bins around the village for disposal of dog waste. BUT people do not pick up their dog's mess. (Maybe extra bins and bags as per Kennel Lane would encourage them. The alleyways are badly littered and untidy and dark. I've reported one to WVTand there seems to be a lot of broken glass & tin cans discarded. When dog walking it is precarious especially when its dark

It would be useful to have pedestrian crossings in the village for visually impaired people.

Time restriction on parking at Sandiway shops/ library & some method of enforcement. People park all day and then get the bus to Chester. More parking at the village hall - an awful lot of congestion on Norley Road at weekends from parents using playground

Define the boundary of the village, outside of which we do not wish developments/ housing without agreement from the village

Village shop areas need to be developed and enhanced - they are dated and stuck in old style. Retirement village at Blakemere should have a very positive effect on the village and we should develop facilities to complement this.

More starter homes needed that are cheaper, not 4/5 bedroomed houses that are being built. More retirement houses/ assisted living/ sheltered accommodation needed.

Inconsiderate neighbours who let hedges and trees grow too high cutting off light to house and garden despite being approached about it. Garden shrubs overlapping onto pavements which could cause injury especially to children. Large potholes in roads

For the last 5 years we have lived next to the Eden Grange estate. What has gone on in terms of demolition, thievery, damage to our homes, pile driving beggars belief. With all the other construction in the area if they have had anything like the problems we have had then there is something seriously wrong with Planning and Supervision in general.

Traffic calming measures East Lane/ Moss Lane Please stop building houses! We are a VILLAGE.

If population does increase the area needs to be protected with provision of adequate infrastructure, green areas and policing to ensure traffic/ speeding does not increase through the estates.

Footpaths and road surfaces within and surrounding the village have sadly declined in the last 10 years - so declined that several footpaths have stopped being used/ maintained and disappeared. parking restrictions would encourage people to WALK which would be good for their health and wellbeing.

Currently there are 2 post boxes not available outside deFine and Weaverham Road. Why is Weaverham Road box still not back in use?

Need for parking at the shops - shops where Spar is. Need to stop cars/ other vehicles being parked on pavements We need a lengthsman - there should be no litter in Cuddington at all - including A49 Yoghurt Factory Hill

There is no consideration for existing families in the village. the bakery smells very bad and its customers often block driveways. No provision for children!

Need to keep/ care for more green spaces & seating with a backing not in space (design basic) areas for older people to meet and chat outdoors. The library is essential - why not in list of facilities. Planning to stay Garden needs professional care but houses are so close together no access to back garden for lawn care - machines, stump grinders, etc

Ensure we keep the library Create more public parking - shops Ensure we keep a bus service

There is no public bus service to Weaverham There is no safe cycle route or safe walking route to Weaverham High School Please stop filling in all the green spaces in the village with new houses, it will over fill the schools and ruin our beautiful village.

post office on own for parking problems

look forward to travel and movement survey look forward to education and leisure survey look forward to development survey

The village is spoilt by many houses having inadequate car space, causing extensive parking on verges.

It would appear that there is a lack of rented sheltered housing for the elderly as housing in areas such as Poplar Close appear to be sold on to families. There is a lack of areas to build such housing. One area that should be used is the 'Vicar's Field at the NW Corner of the Norley Road / Weaverham Road intersection. Although at present in the green belt, this field is bounded on two sides by roads and approximately two thirds of the other two sides are bounded by house. It is therefore within what is essentially a housing area. If this was to be used for rented sheltered housing, an area could be set aside for parking for St John's Church that a present litters the verges outside the Vicarage. In order to access this area, it would be sensible to install a roundabout at the existing intersection and this would have the added benefit of helping traffic flow at a junction that at present is a bottleneck. The greenspace at Cartledge Close and Cartledge Moss should be maintained as an area of designated wildlife interest as it is now. It is unsuitable for housing (it's a moss) and at present local residents are considering ways in which the area can be improved both for wildlife and amenity

more parking needed near library and shops

Threat to Cuddington-Weaverham High School Bus Foot path from Delamere Park to Cuddington

Residential Parking - (would like to have off road parking) Gritting the roads in icy/snow conditions especially on Mere Lane (main bus route)

Work vehicles need to be included in survey as these vehicles can be found blocking or narrowing road ways, fortunately we have room for ours on the driveway

Am disgusted with my neighbours both sides and some nearby who are Weaver Vale houses. They are kept messy, occupants undesirable and if I knew they were neighbours, would NEVER HAVE BOUGHT HOUSE. Who makes Weaver Vale accountable for their tenants. They are ruining the village. Did you know they brought the worst 10 families in Northwich and put them in Cuddington - terrible. They are ruining our lives and I hate seeing fridges in the garden, unkempt lawns and rubbish everywhere. Make Weaver Vale come round and kick out bad tenants & repair and make good their houses and garden. Wish I didn't live here because of those houses and people. I live next door to a drug addict who went inside for kidnapping a

person. I paid £170000 for my house & I don't want to live near people like that, in hell holes. HELP KICK OUT WEAVER VALE HOMES OR MAKE THEM TIDY UP!!!

We need to strengthen the community. Bring the different groups together. We need a heart to the community.. Somewhere central with facilities.

MORE PARKING AROUND THE SHOPS IN CUDDINGTON BETTER TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT AT WHITE BARN TRAFFIC LIGHTS

Bus stop on Norley Road on Delamere side of White Barn is not in use. Bus to Chester would be good. Zebra crossing at shop end of Norley road as crossing to go to cuddington school is difficult. Better crossing at Norley Road/A49 junction

Your questions on transport only seek answers from those who work or are in full time education, you seem to have overlooked the very large number of older residents who do neither but who nonetheless have transport needs. Answering YES to Q6 then Question 7 should have had a 4th option - NO.

Public Transport - improvements should be made to the regularity of trains through the area Chester/Manchester line Car Parking - additional parking for local shops Northwich/Hartford and the train stations in Cuddington/Hartford House building - I have an overall concern about the large numbers of properties being built in the immediate area. It has not caused me any direct issues at this time but I hope consideration is being given to all local amenities/services as a result of the additional people

retirement village more bungalows

Affordable housing available for single professional person. Residential parking Parking for the Mere Lane shops.

Parking Transport

Parking problems Need of more upmarket eateries/ coffee shops State of the roads

Cars parked on the road when there is space in drives is inconsiderate and makes narrow roads congested We would love a 'classy' pub/restaurant within walking distance. We love the train station being so convenient and use it on a regular basis. Would hate this service to be compromised.

Public transport to anywhere is near useless. Need decent bus link to Northwich from Delamere Park and better rail link to Manchester from Cuddington Station. No tarmac footpath from Delamere Park to Cuddington so have to drive everywhere. Not good for health or environment.

Now is the time to call a halt to large scale development. Smaller scale development should focus on first time buyers / rental for young couples and retirement bungalows/apartments guard against too much infilling - detrimental to village environment

Buses from Northwich to Chester calling at Delamere Park would ease parking problems at Sandiway shops and assist DP residents

There are too few car parking places at the station. This could cause problems in the surrounding area, including the car park at the Village Hall. There are no public toilets near the main shopping area. People parking on the road despite having drives. This causes problems for other road users.

Better public transport - more frequent and faster trains to Manchester. Parking at Sandiway shops. Doctors surgery open full time

Faster broadband. Home working

In the next 10 years my oldest child is likely to leave the village to attend University. Schools and doctors are not coping with the extra heads. We should not lose anymore greenbelt

More Policing (Drug and alcohol abuse is high within BOTH the private areas and council estate). No more housing being built! Does not feel like a village anymore. PCSO's monitoring the estate park (parents leaving kids aged 2 with siblings aged 6 to care for them on a regular basis and nothing done!!). Kids smoking cannabis behind the library from the private estates! The new estate by Cuddington school housing rougher than rough people who are stealing off people on the council estate!! We need to sort the community out before we tackle the infrastructure. Have not seen a PCSSCO in months. No good him working school hours, he needs to be working after school and weekends to monitor poor parenting and drug problems within our beautiful Sandiway area.

No more housing developments once the current ones have been completed. More frequent, more

modern trains

Parking - for the shops and school. Childrens play area - unsafe and needs to be updated. Gym or adult equipment

Village is too crowded with new homes. Road infrastructure cannot cope with more cars. More houses will mean we are no longer a village

Help for older people

More Doctors and more daily appointments. Improved parking in and around Sandiway library

Our view is that the village ought to encourage larger houses to be built. Too often we hear about affordable housing needs but frankly we need a blend of housing otherwise we endanger social engineering if we encourage one type of housing over others

The issue with the Doctors is that the Surgery's opening are insufficient. There is definitely a need for really affordable homes for young families i.e. prices below £200K. With an ageing population we need more 2/3 bedroom bungalows or apartments.

parking adjacent local shops



# Appendix B

## Household Range vs Accommodation Size

<b>Detached Houses</b>											
<b>35 Responses Moving in One Year</b>						<b>138 Responses Moving within 10 years</b>					
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed		1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed
1 person	0	2	3	2	0	1 person	0	0	1	4	1
2 person	0	1	1	0	1	2 person	0	6	13	3	2
3 person	0	1	2	0	0	3 person	0	0	2	0	0
4 person	0	0	0	1	0	4 person	1	1	5	5	3
5 person	0	0	1	0	0	5 person	0	0	0	1	2
6 person	0	0	0	1	1	6 person	0	0	1	0	0
>6 person	0	0	0	1	0	>6 person	0	0	0	0	0
Sum	0	4	7	5	2	Sum	1	7	22	13	8

  

<b>218 Responses</b>					
Full	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed
1 person	0	1	2	0	0
2 person	0	6	25	7	1
3 person	0	1	9	6	1
4 person	1	4	9	11	6
5 person	0	1	2	4	2
6 person	0	0	0	0	2
Sum	1	13	47	28	12

<b>Semi Detached Houses</b>											
<b>35 Responses Moving within one year</b>						<b>138 Responses Moving within 10 years</b>					
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed		1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed
1 person	0	2	1	1	0	1 person	0	2	3	1	0
2 person	0	2	2	1	0	2 person	0	4	6	1	1
3 person	0	1	2	0	0	3 person	0	2	2	0	0
4 person	0	0	0	0	0	4 person	1	1	3	2	0
5 person	0	0	0	0	0	5 person	0	0	0	1	0
6 person	0	0	0	1	1	6 person	0	0	0	0	0
>6 person	0	0	0	1	0	>6 person	0	0	0	0	0
Sum	0	5	5	4	1	Sum	1	9	14	5	1

  

<b>218 Responses</b>					
Full	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed
1 person	0	1	0	1	0
2 person	0	4	9	2	0
3 person	0	4	4	4	0
4 person	1	5	8	4	1
5 person	0	1	3	1	1
Sum	1	15	24	12	2

<b>Large Terrace Houses</b>											
<b>35 Responses Moving within 1 year</b>						<b>138 Responses Moving within 10 years</b>					
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed		1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed
1 person	0	0	0	0	0	1 person	0	0	0	0	0
2 person	0	1	1	0	0	2 person	0	0	0	0	0
3 person	0	0	0	0	0	3 person	0	2	1	0	0
4 person	0	0	0	0	0	4 person	0	1	3	1	0
5 person	0	0	0	0	0	5 person	0	0	0	0	0
6 person	0	0	0	0	0	6 person	0	0	0	0	0
>6 person	0	0	0	1	0	>6 person	0	0	0	0	0
Sum	0	1	1	1	0	Sum	0	3	4	1	0
Full	<b>218 Responses</b>										
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed						
1 person	0	0	0	0	0						
2 person	0	1	3	1	0						
3 person	0	3	2	0	0						
4 person	0	2	3	1	0						
5 person	0	0	1	0	0						
Sum	0	6	9	2	0						

<b>Small Terrace Houses</b>											
<b>35 Responses Moving within 1 year</b>						<b>138 Responses Moving within 10 years</b>					
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed		1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed
1 person	1	3	0	0	0	1 person	1	3	0	0	0
2 person	0	0	1	0	0	2 person	0	6	0	0	0
3 person	0	0	1	0	0	3 person	1	1	1	0	0
4 person	0	0	0	0	0	4 person	0	3	1	1	0
Sum	1	3	2	0	0	Sum	2	13	2	1	0
Full	<b>218 Responses</b>										
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed						
1 person	0	1	0	0	0						
2 person	1	2	2	0	1						
3 person	1	4	1	0	0						
4 person	0	6	1	1	0						
5 person	1	3	1	0	0						
>6 person	0	0	0	0	0						
Sum	3	16	5	1	1						

Ground Floor Flat							
35 Responses Moving within 1 year				138 Responses Moving within 10 years			
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed		1 bed	2 bed	3 bed
1 person	1	5	0	1 person	3	9	2
2 person	1	0	1	2 person	1	9	6
3 person	0	0	0	3 person	1	1	1
4 person	0	0	0	4 person	2	1	0
Sum	2	5	1	Sum	7	20	9
Full				218 Responses			
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed				
1 person	3	7	4				
2 person	1	9	5				
3 person	2	3	2				
4 person	3	5	0				
5 person	1	2	0				
Sum	10	26	11				

Flat Above Ground Floor										
35 Responses Moving within 1 year					138 Responses Moving within 10 years					
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed				1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	
1 person	1	4	0				1 person	1	2	0
2 person	1	1	0				2 person	2	11	1
3 person	0	0	0				3 person	1	1	0
4 person	0	0	0				4 person	2	1	0
5 person	0	0	0				5 person	0	0	0
Sum	2	5	0				Sum	6	15	1
Full					218 Responses					
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed					
1 person	0	2	1	0	0					
2 person	2	8	1	0	0					
3 person	3	4	0	0	1					
4 person	2	6	0	0	0					
5 person	1	1	0	0	0					
Sum	8	21	2	0	1					

<b>Detached Bungalows</b>											
<b>35 Responses Moving within 1 year</b>						<b>138 Responses Moving within 10 years</b>					
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed		1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed
1 person	0	1	0	0	0	1 person	1	7	1	0	0
2 person	1	6	5	0	0	2 person	3	19	20	3	1
3 person	0	0	0	0	0	3 person	0	1	3	0	0
4 person	0	0	1	0	0	4 person	2	1	2	2	0
5 person	0	0	0	0	0	5 person	0	1	0	0	0
6 person	0	0	0	1	1	6 person	0	0	0	0	0
Sum	1	7	6	1	1	Sum	6	29	26	5	1
Full	<b>218 Responses</b>										
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed						
1 person	1	5	2	0	0						
2 person	4	31	31	5	1						
3 person	0	4	3	1	0						
4 person	2	2	2	2	1						
5 person	0	2	3	0	0						
6 person	0	0	0	0	0						
>6 person	0	0	0	0	0						
Sum	7	44	41	8	2						

<b>Semi Detached Bungalows</b>											
<b>35 Responses Moving in 1 year</b>						<b>138 Responses Moving in 10 years</b>					
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed		1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed
1 person	0	2	0	0	0	1 person	0	5	0	0	0
2 person	1	1	3	0	0	2 person	1	12	7	1	1
3 person	0	0	0	0	0	3 person	0	0	3	0	0
4 person	0	0	0	0	0	4 person	2	1	1	2	0
5 person	0	0	0	0	0	5 person	0	1	0	0	0
6 person	0	0	0	1	1	6 person	0	0	0	0	0
>6 person	0	0	0	0	0	>6 person	0	0	0	0	0
Sum	1	3	3	1	1	Sum	3	19	11	3	1
Full	<b>218 Responses</b>										
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed						
1 person	2	7	2	1	0						
2 person	1	13	7	0	0						
3 person	0	2	4	1	0						
4 person	3	1	1	2	1						
5 person	0	2	1	0	0						
Sum	6	25	15	4	1						

<b>Caravan Park Home</b>							
<b>35 Responses Moving within 1 year</b>				<b>138 Responses Moving within 10 years</b>			
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed		1 bed	2 bed	3 bed
1 person	0	0	0	1 person	0	0	0
2 person	0	0	0	2 person	0	3	2
3 person	0	0	0	3 person	0	0	0
4 person	0	0	0	4 person	1	0	0
Sum	0	0	0	Sum	1	3	2
<b>Full</b>				<b>218 Responses</b>			
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed				
1 person	0	0	0				
2 person	0	5	4				
3 person	0	0	1				
4 person	1	0	0				
5 person	0	0	0				
Sum	1	5	5				

<b>Sheltered Housing</b>							
<b>Moving within 1 year</b>				<b>Moving within 10 years</b>			
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed		1 bed	2 bed	3 bed
1 person	0	2	0	1 person	5	4	0
2 person	0	1	0	2 person	4	10	1
3 person	0	0	0	3 person	1	0	0
4 person	0	0	0	4 person	0	0	0
5 person	0	0	0	5 person	0	0	0
Sum	0	3	0	Sum	10	14	1
<b>Original Population Comparison</b>							
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed				
1 person	4	5	0				
2 person	7	13	1				
3 person	0	1	0				
4 person	1	1	0				
5 person	0	1	0				
Sum	12	21	1				

Sheltered Housing With Extra Care									
35 Responses Moving within 1 year					138 Responses Moving within 10 years				
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed		1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed
1 person	0	0	0	0	1 person	4	2	0	0
2 person	0	1	0	0	2 person	3	5	1	1
3 person	0	0	0	0	3 person	1	0	0	0
4 person	0	0	0	0	4 person	0	1	0	0
5 person	0	0	0	0	5 person	0	0	0	0
Sum	0	1	0	0	Sum	8	8	1	1
Full					218 Responses				
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed					
1 person	2	2	0	0					
2 person	6	5	2	2					
3 person	0	1	0	0					
4 person	1	2	0	0					
5 person	0	0	0	0					
Sum	9	10	2	2					

Residential Care							
35 Responses Moving within 1 year				138 Responses Moving within 10 years			
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed		1 bed	2 bed	3 bed
1 person	0	0	0	1 person	7	0	0
2 person	0	2	0	2 person	3	3	1
3 person	0	0	0	3 person	1	0	0
4 person	0	0	0	4 person	1	0	0
5 person	0	0	0	5 person	0	0	0
6 person				6 person			
>6 person				>6 person			
Sum	0	2	0	Sum	12	3	1
Full				218 Responses			
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed				
1 person	6	0	0				
2 person	6	6	2				
3 person	0	0	0				
4 person	2	0	0				
Sum	14	6	2				

<b>Care Within Home</b>											
<b>35 Responses Moving within 1 year</b>						<b>138 Responses Moving within 10 years</b>					
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed		1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed
1 person	0	1	0	0	0	1 person	7	3	0	0	0
2 person	0	1	0	0	0	2 person	0	1	0	0	0
3 person	0	0	0	0	0	3 person	0	0	0	0	0
4 person	0	0	0	0	0	4 person	0	0	0	0	0
5 person	0	0	0	0	0	5 person	0	0	0	0	0
Sum	0	2	0	0	0	Sum	7	4	0	0	0
<b>Full</b>											
<b>218 Responses</b>											
	1 bed	2 bed	3 bed	4 bed	5 bed						
1 person	6	4	0	0	0						
2 person	4	11	4	0	0						
3 person	0	0	0	0	0						
4 person	0	1	0	0	1						
5 person	0	0	0	0	0						
Sum	10	16	4	0	1						

# Appendix C

## Age Range vs Accommodation size

Detached Houses															
35 Responses Moving within 1 year								138 Responses Moving within 10 years							
	0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum		0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum
1 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 bed	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
2 bed	0	3	2	0	1	0	6	2 bed	0	2	3	0	3	1	9
3 bed	2	2	5	1	1	0	11	3 bed	6	2	6	9	11	2	36
4 bed	3	2	2	2	0	0	9	4 bed	7	3	3	5	1	1	20
5 bed	1	1	1	1	0	0	4	5 bed	7	1	1	5	0	0	14
Full	218 Responses														
1 bed	0	1	0	0	1	0	2								
2 bed	0	6	2	6	9	0	23								
3 bed	7	14	10	25	23	1	80								
4 bed	17	5	14	16	5	0	57								
5 bed	9	2	4	11	0	0	26								

Semi Detached Houses															
35 Responses Moving within 1 year								138 Responses Moving within 10 years							
	0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum		0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum
1 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 bed	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
2 bed	0	3	4	0	1	0	8	2 bed	0	6	2	2	2	1	13
3 bed	1	3	4	0	0	0	8	3 bed	4	3	4	4	6	0	21
4 bed	2	1	2	1	1	1	8	4 bed	3	0	4	2	0	0	9
5 bed	1	1	0	1	0	0	3	5 bed	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Full	218 Responses														
1 bed	0	1	0	0	1	0	2								
2 bed	0	8	4	9	8	1	30								
3 bed	5	9	10	13	10	0	47								
4 bed	7	1	7	4	4	0	23								
5 bed	2	0	1	1	0	0	4								

<b>Large Terraced Houses</b>															
<b>35 Responses Moving within 1 year</b>								<b>138 Responses Moving within 10 years</b>							
	0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum		0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum
1 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2 bed	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2 bed	0	2	1	2	1	0	6
3 bed	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3 bed	3	0	2	1	2	0	8
4 bed	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	4 bed	1	0	1	1	0	0	3
5 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Full</b>								<b>218 Responses</b>							
1 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
2 bed	0	4	1	4	4	0	13								
3 bed	3	3	4	3	4	0	17								
4 bed	1	0	1	1	1	0	4								
5 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								

<b>Small Terraced Houses</b>															
<b>35 Responses Moving within 1 year</b>								<b>138 Responses Moving within 10 years</b>							
	0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum		0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum
1 bed	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1 bed	1	1	0	1	0	0	3
2 bed	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	2 bed	3	6	3	4	4	0	20
3 bed	1	0	2	0	0	0	3	3 bed	2	0	1	1	0	0	4
4 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4 bed	1	0	1	1	0	0	3
5 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Full</b>								<b>218 Responses</b>							
1 bed	1	1	1	2	2	0	7								
2 bed	5	9	4	10	6	0	34								
3 bed	1	2	2	3	2	0	10								
4 bed	1	0	1	1	0	0	3								
5 bed	0	0	0	0	0	1	1								

<b>Ground Floor Flat</b>															
<b>35 Responses Moving within 1 year</b>								<b>138 Responses Moving within 10 years</b>							
	0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum		0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum
1 bed	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	1 bed	3	1	1	2	2	2	11
2 bed	0	1	2	0	1	1	5	2 bed	1	5	2	5	5	5	23
3 bed	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	3 bed	1	1	0	4	1	4	11
4 bed								4 bed							
5 bed								5 bed							
Full	<b>218 Responses</b>														
1 bed	3	3	3	4	7	0	20								
2 bed	3	5	5	10	11	7	41								
3 bed	2	0	0	4	3	4	13								
4 bed															
5 bed															

<b>Flat Above Ground Floor</b>															
<b>35 Responses Moving within 1 year</b>								<b>138 Responses Moving within 10 years</b>							
	0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum		0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum
1 bed	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	1 bed	3	2	1	4	2	0	12
2 bed	0	3	1	0	1	0	5	2 bed	2	5	2	1	4	5	19
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 bed	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
4 bed								4 bed							
5 bed								5 bed							
Full	<b>218 Responses</b>														
1 bed	4	3	3	6	3	0	19								
2 bed	2	8	3	9	11	3	36								
3 bed	0	0	0	1	1	0	2								
4 bed															
5 bed															

<b>Detached Bungalow</b>															
<b>35 Responses Moving within 1 year</b>								<b>138 Responses Moving within 10 years</b>							
	0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum		0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum
1 bed	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1 bed	1	0	1	1	5	1	9
2 bed	0	1	1	0	4	3	9	2 bed	2	1	2	7	11	12	35
3 bed	1	0	3	0	3	2	9	3 bed	4	1	3	6	14	6	34
4 bed	1	1	0	1	0	0	3	4 bed	2	0	2	3	1	0	8
5 bed	1	1	0	1	0	0	3	5 bed	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
<b>Full</b>								<b>218 Responses</b>							
1 bed	1	1	1	1	5	2	11								
2 bed	3	5	5	15	26	9	63								
3 bed	4	6	5	12	23	7	57								
4 bed	3	0	2	4	2	1	12								
5 bed	1	0	0	2	0	0	3								

<b>Semi Detached Bungalow</b>															
<b>35 Responses Moving within 1 year</b>								<b>138 Responses Moving within 10 years</b>							
	0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum		0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum
1 bed	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	1 bed	1	0	1	0	3	1	6
2 bed	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	2 bed	1	1	2	6	10	6	26
3 bed	0	0	2	0	1	1	4	3 bed	4	1	2	6	4	0	17
4 bed	1	1	0	1	0	0	3	4 bed	2	0	2	2	0	0	6
5 bed	1	1	0	1	0	0	3	5 bed	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
<b>Full</b>								<b>218 Responses</b>							
1 bed	1	2	2	1	5	1	12								
2 bed	2	2	3	7	15	8	37								
3 bed	4	2	4	7	6	1	24								
4 bed	3	0	2	2	1	0	8								
5 bed	1	0	0	1	0	0	2								

<b>Caravan Park</b>															
<b>35 Responses Moving within 1 year</b>								<b>138 Responses Moving within 10 years</b>							
	0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum		0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum
1 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0		1 bed	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
2 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0		2 bed	0	0	0	2	1	1	4
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0		3 bed	0	0	0	2	1	0	3
4 bed								4 bed							
5 bed								5 bed							
Full	<b>218 Reponses</b>														
1 bed	1	0	1	0	0	0	2								
2 bed	0	2	0	4	1	1	8								
3 bed	1	2	1	4	1	0	9								
4 bed															
5 bed															

<b>Sheltered Housing</b>															
<b>35 Responses Moving within 1 year</b>								<b>138 Responses Moving within 10 years</b>							
	0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum		0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum
1 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 bed	0	0	0	2	4	7	13
2 bed	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	2 bed	1	1	0	1	5	7	15
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
4 bed								4 bed							
5 bed								5 bed							
Full	<b>218 Reponses</b>														
1 bed	1	0	1	3	5	5	15								
2 bed	2	1	1	1	14	7	26								
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	1	1								
4 bed															
5 bed															

<b>Sheltered Housing With Extra Care</b>																
<b>35 Responses Moving within 1 year</b>								<b>138 Responses Moving within 10 years</b>								
	0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum		0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum	
1 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 bed	0	0	0	2	3	5	10	
2 bed	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2 bed	2	0	0	0	5	2	9	
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	
4 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4 bed	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
5 bed								5 bed								
Full	<b>218 Reponses</b>															
1 bed	1	0	1	3	5	1	11									
2 bed	2	1	1	1	7	1	13									
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	2	2									
4 bed	0	0	0	0	2	0	3									
5 bed																

<b>Residential Care</b>																
<b>35 Responses Moving within 1 year</b>								<b>138 Responses Moving within 10 years</b>								
	0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum		0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum	
1 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 bed	1	0	0	2	5	7	15	
2 bed	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	2 bed	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	
4 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5 bed								5 bed								
Full	<b>218 Reponses</b>															
1 bed	2	0	2	2	6	6	18									
2 bed	0	0	0	1	4	3	8									
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	2	2									
4 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0									
5 bed																

<b>Care Within the Home</b>															
<b>35 Responses Moving within 1 year</b>								<b>138 Responses Moving within 10 years</b>							
	0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum		0 – 15	16 – 24	24 – 40	41 – 60	61 – 74	75+	Sum
1 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 bed	0	0	0	2	3	7	12
2 bed	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2 bed	2	1	0	0	3	8	14
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
4 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Full	<b>218 Responses</b>														
1 bed	0	0	0	2	4	5	11								
2 bed	1	0	1	1	7	8	18								
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	4	4								
4 bed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0								
5 bed	1	0	1	0	0	0	2								

# Appendix D

## Tenure vs Accommodation size

Detached House											
35 Responses - Moving within 1 year						138 Responses - Moving within 10 years					
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared		Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared
1 bed	4	3	0	0	0	1 bed	2	4	0	0	0
2 bed	1	2	0	0	0	2 bed	15	7	1	2	0
3 bed	1	1	0	0	0	3 bed	1	1	0	0	0
4 bed	1	1	0	0	0	4 bed	2	8	0	0	0
5 bed	1	1	0	0	0	5 bed	1	3	0	0	1
6 bed	0	1	0	0	0	6 bed	1	1	0	0	0
Sum	8	9	0	0	0	Sum	22	24	1	2	1
Full						218 Responses					
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared						
1 bed	3	1	0	0	0						
2 bed	26	7	1	2	0						
3 bed	7	7	1	0	0						
4 bed	6	22	1	0	0						
5 bed	4	7	0	0	1						
6 bed	1	1	0	0	0						
Sum	47	45	3	2	1						

Semi Detached House											
35 Responses - Moving within 1 year						138 Responses - Moving within 10 years					
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared		Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared
1 bed	1	3	0	0	0	1 bed	0	4	0	0	0
2 bed	1	3	0	0	0	2 bed	3	5	1	1	0
3 bed	0	2	0	0	0	3 bed	3	1	0	0	0
4 bed	0	0	0	0	0	4 bed	1	3	2	0	0
5 bed	0	0	0	0	0	5 bed	0	1	0	0	1
6 bed	0	1	0	0	0	6 bed	0	0	0	0	0
Sum	2	9	0	0	0	Sum	7	14	3	1	1
Full						218 Responses					
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared						
1 bed	1	1	0	0	0						
2 bed	7	5	1	1	0						
3 bed	3	6	2	1	0						
4 bed	2	10	3	0	0						
5 bed	2	4	0	0	1						
6 bed	0	0	0	0	0						
Sum	15	26	6	2	1						

Large Terrace											
35 Responses - Moving within 1 year						138 Responses - Moving within 10 years					
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared		Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared
1 bed	0	0	0	0	0	1 bed	0	0	0	0	0
2 bed	1	1	0	0	0	2 bed	0	0	0	0	0
3 bed	0	1	0	0	0	3 bed	2	1	0	0	0
4 bed	0	0	0	0	0	4 bed	0	2	1	0	0
5 bed	0	0	0	0	0	5 bed	0	0	0	0	0
Sum	1	2	0	0	0	Sum	2	3	1	0	0
Full	<b>218 Responses</b>										
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared						
1 bed	0	0	0	0	0						
2 bed	2	1	1	0	0						
3 bed	2	2	2	1	0						
4 bed	1	2	1	0	0						
5 bed	0	1	0	0	0						
Sum	5	6	4	1	0						

Small Terrace											
35 Responses - Moving within 1 year						138 Responses - Moving within 10 years					
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared		Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared
1 bed	0	3	0	1	1	1 bed	3	0	0	1	0
2 bed	1	2	0	0	0	2 bed	0	3	2	3	0
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	3 bed	0	2	1	1	1
4 bed	0	0	0	0	0	4 bed	0	3	2	0	0
5 bed	0	0	0	0	0	5 bed	0	0	0	0	0
Sum	1	5	0	1	1	Sum	3	8	5	5	1
Full	<b>218 Responses</b>										
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared						
1 bed	1	1	0	0	0						
2 bed	1	1	0	1	1						
3 bed	1	4	1	2	0						
4 bed	1	5	4	1	0						
5 bed	1	3	1	2	1						
Sum	5	14	6	6	2						

<b>Ground Floor Flat</b>											
<b>35 Responses - Moving within 1 year</b>						<b>138 Responses - Moving within 10 years</b>					
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared		Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared
1 bed	1	3	1	1	1	1 bed	7	2	2	4	0
2 bed	0	1	1	0	0	2 bed	9	3	2	0	0
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	3 bed	0	1	1	2	1
4 bed	0	0	0	0	0	4 bed	1	2	2	0	0
5 bed	0	0	0	0	0	5 bed	0	0	0	0	0
Sum	1	4	2	1	1	Sum	17	8	7	6	1
Full	<b>218 Responses</b>										
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared						
1 bed	8	1	1	2	0						
2 bed	10	1	0	0	1						
3 bed	1	3	1	1	0						
4 bed	1	5	5	2	0						
5 bed	1	1	1	2	1						
Sum	21	11	8	7	2						

<b>Flat Above Ground Floor</b>											
<b>35 Responses - Moving within 1 year</b>						<b>138 Responses - Moving within 10 years</b>					
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared		Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared
1 bed	1	3	1	2	1	1 bed	1	1	1	1	0
2 bed	0	1	1	0	0	2 bed	5	2	3	2	0
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	3 bed	0	1	1	1	1
4 bed	0	0	0	0	0	4 bed	0	1	3	0	0
5 bed	0	0	0	0	0	5 bed	0	0	0	0	0
Sum	1	4	2	2	1	Sum	6	5	8	4	1
Full	<b>218 Responses</b>										
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared						
1 bed	2	0	0	0	0						
2 bed	6	1	0	0	1						
3 bed	1	2	2	1	0						
4 bed	1	4	6	2	0						
5 bed	0	1	1	1	1						
Sum	10	8	9	4	2						

<b>Detached Bungalow</b>											
<b>35 Responses - Moving within 1 year</b>						<b>138 Responses - Moving within 10 years</b>					
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared		Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared
1 bed	1	0	0	0	0	1 bed	8	1	1	1	0
2 bed	5	4	0	0	0	2 bed	34	3	2	3	0
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	3 bed	2	2	0	0	0
4 bed	1	0	0	0	0	4 bed	2	1	0	0	0
5 bed	0	0	0	0	0	5 bed	1	0	0	0	0
6 bed	0	1	0	0	0	6 bed	0	0	0	0	0
Sum	7	5	0	0	0	Sum	47	7	3	4	0
Full	<b>218 Responses</b>										
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared						
1 bed	8	1	1	0	0						
2 bed	52	2	2	0	0						
3 bed	4	4	1	0	0						
4 bed	3	1	0	0	0						
5 bed	4	1	0	1	0						
6 bed	0	0	0	0	0						
Sum	71	9	4	1	0						

<b>Semi - Detached Bungalow</b>											
<b>35 Responses - Moving within 1 year</b>						<b>138 Responses - Moving within 10 years</b>					
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared		Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared
1 bed	2	0	0	0	0	1 bed	3	0	0	3	0
2 bed	2	3	0	0	0	2 bed	11	3	0	1	0
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	3 bed	0	2	0	1	0
4 bed	0	0	0	0	0	4 bed	2	2	1	0	0
5 bed	0	0	0	0	0	5 bed	1	0	0	0	0
6 bed	0	1	0	0	0	6 bed	0	0	0	0	0
Sum	4	4	0	0	0	Sum	17	7	1	5	0
Full	<b>218 Responses</b>										
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared						
1 bed	5	1	0	2	0						
2 bed	11	1	0	3	0						
3 bed	2	3	1	1	0						
4 bed	3	2	1	0	0						
5 bed	2	1	0	1	0						
6 bed	0	0	0	0	0						
Sum	23	8	2	7	0						

<b>Sheltered Housing</b>											
<b>35 Responses - Moving within 1 year</b>						<b>138 Responses - Moving within 10 years</b>					
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared		Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared
1 bed	1	0	0	0	0	1 bed	0	1	3	3	0
2 bed	0	0	0	0	0	2 bed	8	0	0	2	0
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	3 bed	1	0	0	0	0
4 bed	0	0	0	0	0	4 bed	0	0	0	0	0
Sum	1	0	0	0	0	Sum	9	1	3	5	0
<b>Full</b>						<b>218 Responses</b>					
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared						
1 bed	2	1	2	2	0						
2 bed	10	0	1	2	0						
3 bed	1	0	0	0	0						
4 bed	0	1	0	1	0						
Sum	13	2	3	5	0						

<b>Sheltered Housing With Extra Care</b>											
<b>35 Responses - Moving within 1 year</b>						<b>138 Responses - Moving within 10 years</b>					
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared		Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared
1 bed	1	0	0	0	0	1 bed	2	1	2	3	0
2 bed	0	0	0	0	0	2 bed	5	0	1	5	0
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	3 bed	1	0	0	0	0
4 bed	0	0	0	0	0	4 bed	0	0	1	1	0
Sum	1	0	0	0	0	Sum	8	1	4	9	0
<b>Full</b>						<b>218 Responses</b>					
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared						
1 bed	3	1	1	2	0						
2 bed	7	0	2	5	0						
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0						
4 bed	0	1	1	2	0						
Sum	10	2	4	9	0						

<b>Residential Care</b>											
<b>35 Responses - Moving within 1 year</b>						<b>138 Responses - Moving within 10 years</b>					
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared		Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared
1 bed	0	0	0	0	0	1 bed	2	0	1	0	0
2 bed	1	0	0	0	0	2 bed	0	0	1	0	0
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	3 bed	0	0	1	0	0
4 bed	0	0	0	0	0	4 bed	0	0	0	0	0
Sum	1	0	0	0	0	Sum	3	0	4	3	0
Full	<b>218 Responses</b>										
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared						
1 bed	2	0	1	2	0						
2 bed	5	0	2	1	0						
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0						
4 bed	0	0	2	0	0						
Sum	7	0	5	3	0						

<b>Care In the Home</b>											
<b>35 Responses - Moving within 1 year</b>						<b>138 Responses - Moving within 10 years</b>					
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared		Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared
1 bed	1	0	0	0	0	1 bed	8	1	0	0	0
2 bed	1	0	0	0	0	2 bed	8	0	0	0	1
3 bed	0	0	0	0	0	3 bed	1	0	0	0	0
4 bed	0	0	0	0	0	4 bed	0	0	1	0	0
Sum	2	0	0	0	0	Sum	17	1	1	0	1
Full	<b>218 Responses</b>										
	Owned Outright	Owned Mortgage	Rent Private	Rent Housing Assoc	Shared						
1 bed	8	1	0	0	0						
2 bed	13	0	0	0	1						
3 bed	1	0	0	0	0						
4 bed	0	1	1	0	0						
Sum	22	2	1	0	1						



